ScieniQ Publishers

Japan Journal of Medical Science

Review Article

# Art-Based Well-Being Interventions for Stress-Related Clinical Risk: A Narrative Review and Translational Framework for Preventive Medical Science

**Dr. Ignacio Bonasa Alzuria**

*Liderarte, Madrid, Spain*

**Corresponding author**
Dr. Ignacio Bonasa Alzuria Liderarte, Madrid, Spain

**Received**: 11 May 2026
**Accepted** : 16 May 2026
**Published**: 17 June 2026

**Copyright**
©2026 Ignacio Bonasa Alzuria
OPEN ACCESS

## Abstract

**Background:** Stress-related clinical risk is one of the most important challenges for contemporary preventive medicine. Chronic stress, emotional exhaustion, sleep disruption, sedentary behaviour, social disconnection and loss of meaning contribute to pathways associated with mental health disorders, cardiovascular risk, metabolic dysfunction, burnout and reduced adherence to care. At the same time, medical science is increasingly moving toward integrative, lifestyle-based and person-centred models capable of addressing biological, psychological, behavioural and social determinants of health.

**Objective:** This article reviews the clinical rationale for arts-based well-being interventions as complementary strategies for stress-related risk reduction and proposes a translational framework linking art, emotional regulation, lifestyle medicine and preventive medical science.

**Methods:** A narrative review was conducted using international literature on stress physiology, allostatic load, burnout, lifestyle medicine, social prescribing, arts in health, art therapy, music interventions, well-being science, social connection, digital health and non-communicable disease prevention. The article does not report original clinical data. It synthesises existing evidence and develops a structured framework for future clinical research and implementation.

**Results:** The literature suggests that arts-based interventions may contribute to emotional expression, stress regulation, attention, social connection, meaning-making, identity reconstruction and behavioural activation. These mechanisms are medically relevant because they may influence modifiable risk factors associated with chronic disease, psychological distress, health behaviours and occupational functioning. However, evidence remains heterogeneous, and stronger clinical trials, standardised outcomes and implementation studies are required.

**Conclusion:** Arts-based well-being interventions should not be presented as substitutes for medical treatment, psychotherapy or pharmacological care. Nevertheless, when designed responsibly, they may provide valuable complementary tools within preventive medicine, lifestyle medicine, occupational health, community health and social prescribing. An eight-domain framework is proposed to guide future research and practice: body, thought, emotions, transcendence and meaning, social connection, professional life, financial behaviour and digital health.

**Keywords:** A Art and health; well-being; stress; lifestyle medicine; preventive medicine; burnout; social prescribing; non-communicable diseases; emotional regulation; clinical prevention

## Introduction

The greatest clinical challenge of the present century is not only to treat disease once it appears, but to prevent the accumulation of biological, psychological and social risk before it becomes disease. Modern medicine has achieved extraordinary progress through diagnosis, pharmacology, surgery, imaging, immunology, digital health and specialised care. Yet many of the most prevalent conditions encountered in clinical practice are strongly influenced by chronic stress, daily behaviour, work conditions, sleep, diet, physical inactivity, loneliness, emotional dysregulation and loss of purpose [1-6].

Cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, obesity, hypertension, depression, anxiety, sleep disorders and burnout are not merely isolated biological events. They develop within lives, relationships, organisations, neighbourhoods and cultural environments. Consequently, preventive medical science must address the person not as a passive carrier of symptoms, but as a biological, emotional, behavioural and social being whose habits and meanings shape health trajectories [7-10].

Within this context, arts-based interventions have attracted increasing scientific attention. Music, visual arts, theatre, dance, reflective writing, storytelling, museum-based programmes and community arts have been examined in relation to mental health, pain, quality of life, social connection, cognitive stimulation, ageing, palliative care and occupational stress

[11-16]. The World Health Organization scoping review on arts and health synthesised evidence from a large body of studies and positioned the arts as relevant to prevention, health promotion, management and treatment support [11].

The medical value of the arts should not be understood as a romantic substitution for clinical treatment. Art is not a medication in the pharmacological sense, and it should not be used to replace diagnosis, psychotherapy, medication, rehabilitation or specialist care when these are indicated. Its value lies elsewhere: in its capacity to mobilise attention, emotion, body awareness, connection, narrative and meaning. These capacities are deeply relevant to prevention because they influence stress physiology, coping, adherence, behavioural activation and social participation [17-20].

This article proposes a translational framework for integrating arts-based well-being interventions into preventive medical science. The framework draws from evidence on stress, allostatic load, lifestyle medicine, mental health at work, social prescribing and arts in health. It aims to help clinicians, researchers and institutions design interventions that are humanised, measurable, ethically responsible and clinically useful.

## Methods and Scope of the Review

This manuscript is a narrative review and translational framework. It does not present a systematic review, meta-analysis, clinical trial or original patient dataset. The objective is to integrate relevant evidence across several domains of medical and behavioural science and to propose a structured model for future research and implementation.

The conceptual search domains included stress physiology, allostatic load, mental health at work, burnout, non-communicable disease prevention, lifestyle medicine, social determinants of health, social prescribing, Arts on Prescription, art therapy, music interventions, cultural engagement, well-being science, loneliness, sleep, physical activity, nutrition, digital health and behavioural adherence [1-29]. Priority was given to peer-reviewed studies, systematic reviews, international health guidelines and widely cited theoretical contributions.

The article is deliberately cautious in its claims. It does not suggest that arts-based activities cure disease. Instead, it examines whether and how arts-based interventions may complement clinical and preventive care by acting on relevant pathways: stress regulation, emotional expression, meaning-making, social connection and health behaviour engagement.

**Table 1. Scope, clinical positioning and boundaries of the manuscript**

| Dimension | Position adopted in this article | Implication for medical readers |
|---|---|---|
| Type of article | Narrative review and translational framework | The article synthesises evidence and proposes a clinical model; it does not report original clinical outcomes. |
| Medical claim | Complementary and preventive | Arts-based interventions are positioned as supportive strategies, not substitutes for treatment. |
| Clinical domain | Stress-related risk, lifestyle medicine, occupational health, social prescribing and well-being | The manuscript fits broadly within medical and clinical research. |
| Primary contribution | A structured eight-domain framework | The model can guide pilot trials, occupational health programmes and community health interventions. |
| Research need | Rigorous evaluation | Randomised trials, mixed-method studies, implementation research and cost-effectiveness analysis are recommended. |

## Why Well-Being Matters in Medical Science

Well-being is sometimes treated as a soft or secondary topic in medicine. This is a mistake. Well-being influences adherence, self-care, immune regulation, sleep, physical activity, diet, social participation, recovery, work functioning and the capacity to sustain health-promoting behaviours over time [30-35]. In clinical prevention, the question is not whether well-being sounds attractive, but whether it helps reduce risk, improve functioning and support sustainable behaviour change.

Psychological well-being has been associated with favourable health outcomes in several research traditions. Purpose in life, optimism, positive affect and social integration have been linked to healthier behaviours, better cardiovascular indicators and lower morbidity risk in observational studies [30-36]. Conversely, chronic stress, depression, loneliness and emotional exhaustion are associated with increased clinical vulnerability [21-25,37-40].

A preventive approach therefore requires the integration of medical risk factors and human experience. Patients are not only informed decision-makers; they are emotionally affected, socially embedded and habit-driven persons. For this reason, interventions that engage emotion, body, identity and meaning may increase the probability that knowledge becomes behaviour.

Arts-based interventions are relevant because they operate precisely in this space. They do not merely tell a person to relax, connect or change. They provide an experience in which relaxation, connection, expression and change may be rehearsed. This experiential dimension is especially important in lifestyle medicine, where many recommendations fail not because they are scientifically wrong, but because they are not sufficiently embodied in daily life.

## Chronic Stress, Allostatic Load and Clinical Risk

Stress is not inherently pathological. Acute stress can be adaptive, mobilising physiological resources in response to challenge. The problem arises when stress becomes chronic, uncontrollable or poorly regulated. In that case, repeated activation of neuroendocrine, autonomic, immune and inflammatory systems may contribute to allostatic load: the cumulative biological burden of adaptation [21-24].

Allostatic load is clinically important because it provides a conceptual bridge between lived experience and biological risk. Chronic stress may influence blood pressure, glucose metabolism, abdominal adiposity, inflammatory activity, sleep, immune function, appetite, pain sensitivity and mood [21-29]. It can also increase maladaptive behaviours such as sedentary habits, emotional eating, alcohol misuse, nicotine exposure, digital overuse and social withdrawal.

The relationship between stress and disease is especially visible in cardiovascular and metabolic health. Psychosocial stress has been associated with cardiovascular disease, myocardial infarction risk and adverse inflammatory profiles [25-29]. Stress also interacts with sleep disruption, physical inactivity, diet and obesity, creating a risk cluster that cannot be addressed by medication alone.

For this reason, preventive medicine needs interventions that help individuals regulate stress before it becomes chronic biological cost. Traditional approaches include psychoeducation, cognitive behavioural strategies, mindfulness, physical activity, sleep hygiene, social support and workplace interventions [1,2,27,28,41]. Arts-based interventions may add an experiential and symbolic layer to this preventive toolkit.

## Mental Health at Work and Burnout

Work is one of the major social determinants of adult health. It can provide income, identity, structure and belonging, but it can also generate chronic overload, moral distress, conflict, insecurity and emotional exhaustion. The World Health Organization guidelines on mental health at work emphasise the need for organisational interventions, manager training, worker training, individual interventions and return-to-work strategies [1,2].

Burnout is particularly relevant in health care, education, social services and leadership roles. It is commonly described through emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation or cynicism, and reduced professional efficacy [42]. Among health professionals, burnout has been associated with impaired well-being, reduced quality of care, increased turnover and potential patient safety risks [43-46].

Medical institutions have often responded to burnout with individual resilience programmes. Although individual support is useful, burnout should not be reduced to personal weakness. It is frequently a systemic and organisational phenomenon. Effective prevention requires both organisational redesign and personal resources. Arts-based interventions may be useful when they support reflection, expression, team connection, vocation, empathy and recovery of meaning, but they should never be used to conceal unsafe working conditions.

Recent reviews have explored arts-based or art therapy-informed interventions for healthcare workers and burnout, suggesting potential psychosocial benefits while also highlighting methodological limitations and the need for more rigorous evaluation [15,16]. This is a promising field, but it must be developed with scientific humility and occupational ethics.

## Lifestyle Medicine and the Problem of Adherence

Lifestyle medicine focuses on evidence-based changes in nutrition, physical activity, sleep, stress management, social connection and avoidance of harmful substances [47-51]. These domains are central to the prevention and management of many non-communicable diseases. The American Heart Association Life's Essential 8 framework, for example, includes diet, physical activity, nicotine exposure, sleep health, body mass index, blood lipids, blood glucose and blood pressure [49].

The difficulty is not only knowing what protects health. The difficulty is sustaining behaviour change in real life. A patient may understand the importance of walking, sleeping, eating well and reducing stress, yet remain trapped in exhaustion, family pressure, work overload, loneliness, grief, economic anxiety or digital distraction. In clinical practice, information is necessary but insufficient.

This is where art may have a translational role. Artistic experiences can turn abstract health recommendations into emotionally meaningful commitments. A theatre exercise may help a patient rehearse saying no to overload. A visual mapping exercise may help identify barriers to sleep. Music may facilitate relaxation practice. Reflective writing may help transform guilt into self-care intention. Group singing or painting may reduce isolation and increase routine.

From this perspective, art is not a decoration added to medicine. It may be an adherence catalyst. It can help people feel, symbolise, rehearse and commit to behaviours that medical science already recommends.

## Arts-Based Interventions in Health: Evidence and Mechanisms

The field of arts and health includes receptive and active modalities. Receptive forms include listening to music, attending cultural events or museum visits. Active forms include singing, dancing, drawing, painting, theatre, poetry, reflective writing, photography, storytelling and craft. Interventions may be individual or group-based, clinical or community-based, brief or longitudinal [11-14,52-60].

The evidence is broad but heterogeneous. Music interventions have been examined for anxiety, stress, pain, cancer care and perioperative settings [52-54]. Visual art and art therapy have been explored in mental health, oncology, trauma, rehabilitation, palliative care and staff well-being [55-58]. Cultural engagement has been associated with mental health, cognitive health and reduced loneliness in population studies [59-62]. Museum-based programmes have been evaluated as public health and social connection interventions [63,64].

Several mechanisms are plausible. First, the arts support emotional expression, allowing experiences that are difficult to verbalise to become visible, audible or embodied. Second, creative activity may focus attention and reduce rumination. Third, artistic engagement may activate relaxation and parasympathetic regulation. Fourth, group art can create belonging without forcing immediate verbal intimacy. Fifth, artistic narratives may support meaning-making and identity reconstruction [11,17-20,55-58].

However, evidence should be interpreted with caution. Studies often vary in sample size, intervention design, facilitator expertise, comparator conditions and outcome measures. The field needs more randomised controlled trials, mixed-method evaluations, implementation research and economic studies. The promise is real, but the medical language must remain responsible.

**Table 2. Arts-based modalities and potential clinical pathways**

| Modality | Possible pathway | Potential preventive relevance |
|---|---|---|
| Music listening or music-making | Relaxation, emotional regulation, rhythm, breathing, attention | May support stress reduction, anxiety management and sleep preparation. |
| Visual arts | Externalisation, symbolic processing, self-awareness | May help identify emotions, barriers and personal resources. |
| Reflective writing | Narrative coherence, meaning-making, cognitive processing | May support coping, grief integration and behavioural commitment. |
| Theatre and role play | Perspective-taking, rehearsal, embodiment, empathy | May help practise communication, boundaries and leadership behaviours. |
| Dance and movement | Body awareness, vitality, social synchrony | May support physical activity, mood and embodied stress regulation. |

| Group singing or community arts | Belonging, synchrony, shared purpose | May reduce loneliness and promote sustained participation. |
|---|---|---|
| Museum-based dialogue | Attention, memory, social connection, aesthetic reflection | May support ageing, cognitive stimulation and social prescribing. |

## Social Prescribing and Arts on Prescription

Social prescribing connects patients with non-clinical community resources that may improve health and well-being. These resources may include physical activity groups, volunteering, nature-based activities, cultural programmes, peer support, financial advice and arts initiatives [65-69]. Social prescribing is particularly relevant when patients present with loneliness, mild to moderate distress, chronic conditions, social isolation or complex psychosocial needs.

Arts on Prescription is a specific form of social prescribing in which patients are referred to artistic or cultural activities. Recent reviews suggest that Arts on Prescription may improve psychosocial well-being and provide social and psychological benefits, while also requiring stronger evidence, standardisation and implementation quality [14,66-69].

The medical importance of social prescribing lies in recognising that some health needs are not fully resolved by medication, investigation or brief consultation. Many patients need safe connection, routine, meaning, creative expression and community participation. These are not luxuries. They are determinants of health.

Responsible implementation is essential. Arts on Prescription should include clear inclusion and exclusion criteria, screening for psychological risk, trained facilitators, safeguarding protocols, communication pathways with clinicians, consent, confidentiality, culturally appropriate activities and outcome measurement. It should not become a way to transfer clinical responsibility to unsupported community providers.

## A Translational Eight-Domain Framework for Preventive Medical Science

This article proposes an eight-domain framework for arts-based well-being interventions in preventive medical science. The framework is not intended to replace established medical models. It is designed to translate well-being into practical domains that can be assessed, intervened upon and evaluated.

The eight domains are: body, thought, emotions, transcendence and meaning, social connection, professional life, financial behaviour and digital health. Each domain represents a pathway through which stress may affect health and through which arts-based interventions may support prevention.

### Body

The body is the biological foundation of well-being. Physical activity, nutrition, sleep, pain, fatigue, breathing and somatic awareness influence mental and physical health. Movement, dance, rhythm, theatre-based embodiment and music-supported breathing can help participants reconnect with bodily signals and develop self-regulation practices [47-54].

In preventive medicine, body-based artistic interventions may be especially useful when sedentary behaviour, fatigue, chronic stress or low mood reduce motivation for activity. The aim is not athletic performance, but reconnection with movement as a source of vitality.

### Thought

Thought patterns influence stress, adherence and health decisions. Rumination, catastrophising, self-criticism and cognitive rigidity may intensify distress. Reflective writing, visual metaphor and narrative arts can help individuals observe their thoughts from a symbolic distance and create more adaptive interpretations [30-35,55-58].

This approach does not replace cognitive behavioural therapy. It may complement psychoeducation by making internal narratives visible and easier to discuss.

### Emotions

Emotional regulation is central to health. Suppressed emotion, chronic anger, fear, shame and sadness may contribute to stress physiology and maladaptive coping. Art provides symbolic containers for emotion: images, sounds, gestures, stories and colours can express what ordinary language cannot easily say [17-20,55-58].

A clinically responsible emotional arts programme should include safety, containment, referral protocols and respect for participant autonomy.

### Transcendence and Meaning

Transcendence is understood here not necessarily as religion, but as the human capacity to connect with purpose, values, beauty, gratitude, legacy and something larger than immediate suffering. Meaning has been associated with resilience, quality of life and coping in serious illness and adversity [30-36,70].

Art is one of the oldest human methods for meaning-making. Through metaphor, rhythm, narrative and image, suffering can be transformed into form. This may help patients move from passive suffering to active interpretation and commitment.

### Social Connection

Loneliness and social isolation are increasingly recognised as public health issues. Social relationships are associated with mortality risk, mental health and health behaviours [37-40]. Group arts programmes can build connection through shared experience, synchrony and belonging.

This pathway is especially relevant for older adults, caregivers, people with chronic illness, workers with burnout and individuals experiencing mild to moderate emotional distress.

### Professional Life

Professional life can protect or damage health. Work may create identity and belonging, but it may also generate overload, moral injury and exhaustion. Arts-based occupational interventions may support emotional literacy, reflective leadership, team cohesion and recovery of purpose [1,2,42-46].

However, these programmes must be embedded in ethical organisational practice. They should not be used as cosmetic well-being activities while structural sources of harm remain unaddressed.

### Financial Behaviour

Financial stress affects mental health, family life, sleep, nutrition and health behaviours. Although financial behaviour is not usually considered a medical domain, financial insecurity is part of the social context of health [8-10,39,40].

Creative educational methods may help individuals explore money-related emotions, beliefs and habits without shame. Storytelling, role play and visual mapping can make financial behaviour more conscious and less reactive.

## Digital Health

Digital overexposure, sleep disruption, social comparison, attention fragmentation and problematic device use may influence mental health and lifestyle routines [71-75]. Digital health is therefore both a risk field and an opportunity for intervention.

Arts-based digital health strategies may include offline creativity rituals, reflective writing on screen habits, mindful photography, digital detox challenges and creative use of technology for connection rather than compulsive distraction.

**Table 3. Eight-domain framework for arts-based preventive intervention**

| Domain | Stress-related risk | Arts-based strategy | Potential outcome measures |
|---|---|---|---|
| Body | Sedentary behaviour, fatigue, sleep disruption | Movement, rhythm, dance, breathing with music | Physical activity, sleep quality, fatigue, HRV, blood pressure |
| Thought | Rumination, self-criticism, cognitive rigidity | Reflective writing, visual metaphor, narrative mapping | Perceived stress, cognitive flexibility, self-efficacy |
| Emotions | Suppression, anxiety, sadness, anger | Painting, music, poetry, drama, art therapy-informed exercises | GAD-7, PHQ-9, emotional regulation scales |
| Meaning | Loss of purpose, existential distress | Storytelling, symbolic art, legacy projects | Purpose in life, quality of life, resilience |
| Social connection | Loneliness, isolation, low support | Group singing, theatre, community arts | Loneliness scales, social connectedness, participation |
| Professional life | Burnout, overload, moral distress | Team arts, reflective theatre, leadership through art | MBI, absenteeism, work engagement, team climate |
| Financial behaviour | Financial anxiety, avoidance, shame | Narrative money mapping, role play, visual planning | Financial stress, self-efficacy, behavioural goals |
| Digital health | Screen overuse, attention fragmentation, sleep displacement | Offline creativity rituals, digital reflection, mindful photography | Screen time, sleep, attention, digital well-being |

## Clinical Pathways of Action

**Psychophysiological regulation:** Creative engagement may reduce perceived stress, support relaxation, modulate breathing and promote autonomic balance. Music and movement-based approaches may be especially relevant to this pathway [52-54].

**Emotional expression and containment:** Art allows emotion to be expressed without forcing immediate verbal explanation. This may reduce avoidance and improve emotional awareness [55-58].

**Cognitive and narrative reorganisation:** Writing, theatre and visual metaphor may help individuals reframe experience, identify values and create more coherent personal narratives [30-36,70].

**Social synchrony and belonging:** Group arts can generate participation, shared rhythm and mutual recognition, which may reduce loneliness and increase perceived support [37-40,59-64].

**Behavioural activation and habit formation:** Creative routines can structure time, improve motivation and support small commitments to health behaviour change [47-51].

**Identity reconstruction:** Illness, burnout and chronic stress can narrow identity. Art may help participants experience themselves as creators, learners and community members, not merely as patients or exhausted workers [11-20].

## Clinical Applications

Arts-based well-being interventions may be relevant across several clinical and preventive contexts. In primary care, they may support patients with stress, loneliness, mild depressive symptoms, sleep problems and lifestyle-related risk when specialist treatment is not immediately indicated or when complementary support is needed. In occupational health, they may contribute to burnout prevention, team connection and emotional literacy, particularly when combined with organisational improvements.

In chronic disease management, art may help patients process diagnosis, sustain motivation and develop routines. In ageing, music, movement, museum-based dialogue and community arts may support cognitive stimulation, social connection and quality of life. In palliative and supportive care, artistic expression may support dignity, legacy, grief and meaning. In mental health promotion, art may support self-expression and belonging, while specialised psychotherapy remains essential for clinical disorders requiring treatment.

A responsible clinical approach requires triage. Patients with severe depression, psychosis, acute suicidality, substance dependence, trauma instability or complex psychiatric conditions should not be referred to generic arts programmes as a substitute for specialist care. They may benefit from carefully supervised therapeutic arts interventions within appropriate clinical governance.

**Table 4. Proposed clinical implementation matrix**

| Setting | Population | Programme format | Clinical safeguards |
|---|---|---|---|
| Primary care | Stress, loneliness, mild distress, lifestyle risk | 8-12 week community arts referral with baseline and follow-up measures | Screening, GP pathway, crisis referral protocol |
| Occupational health | Burnout risk, health care teams, managers, educators | Team-based arts and reflection sessions linked to organisational interventions | No substitution for workload redesign; confidentiality rules |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Chronic disease programmes | Patients with diabetes, cardiovascular risk or chronic pain | Art-supported habit change and meaning-making modules | Coordination with medical treatment and lifestyle targets |
| Older adult care | Loneliness, mild cognitive concerns, reduced participation | Music, dance, museums, craft, intergenerational arts | Accessibility, mobility support, cognitive adaptation |
| Supportive and palliative care | Serious illness, grief, legacy needs | Music, visual legacy projects, narrative work | Trauma-informed facilitation and family consent where appropriate |

## Measurement and Outcomes for Future Trials

The scientific future of arts-based preventive medicine depends on measurement. Qualitative testimony is valuable, but clinical adoption also requires validated instruments, comparison groups, follow-up and cost-effectiveness evidence. Research should avoid vague claims such as "art improves health" and instead ask precise questions: which art modality, for which population, delivered by whom, at what intensity, with which outcomes and over what time frame?

Potential outcomes include perceived stress, anxiety, depression, sleep quality, burnout, work engagement, quality of life, loneliness, social connectedness, self-efficacy, physical activity, heart rate variability, blood pressure, HbA1c in metabolic-risk populations, absenteeism, presenteeism and health service utilisation [76-82]. Mixed-method designs may be especially useful because they capture both measurable outcomes and lived mechanisms of change.

Clinical trials should include clear intervention manuals while preserving enough flexibility for creativity. Fidelity assessment should not eliminate artistic responsiveness. Instead, it should define core components: safety, structure, facilitator competence, reflective integration, health-behaviour linkage and outcome measurement.

## Ethical and Safety Considerations

Ethical implementation is essential. Art can evoke powerful emotions, memories and vulnerabilities. Facilitators need training to recognise distress, contain emotional activation and refer participants to appropriate care when needed. Consent, confidentiality and psychological safety are particularly important in group settings.

The second ethical requirement is truthfulness. Arts-based interventions should not be marketed as cures. They may support well-being, coping, connection and prevention, but they do not replace medical treatment, psychotherapy, pharmacological care, rehabilitation or emergency intervention. Overclaiming would damage both patient trust and scientific credibility.

The third requirement is equity. Programmes should be accessible to people with different physical abilities, cultural backgrounds, ages, literacy levels and economic conditions. If arts-based health interventions are available only to privileged groups, they may increase rather than reduce health inequity.

The fourth requirement is organisational honesty. In workplaces, creative well-being programmes must not hide harmful workloads, harassment, unsafe staffing or poor leadership. Art can humanise organisations, but it cannot ethically cover structural neglect.

## Discussion

The integration of arts-based well-being interventions into preventive medical science requires a balance between imagination and rigour. Imagination is necessary because many clinical problems are not solved by information alone. Rigour is necessary because health interventions must be evaluated, safe and ethically responsible.

The central argument of this article is that art may become medically relevant when it is connected to defined pathways: stress regulation, emotional expression, attention, social connection, meaning-making, behavioural activation and identity reconstruction. These pathways are not peripheral to medicine. They influence adherence, lifestyle risk, occupational health, mental health and quality of life.

This argument also implies a change in language. It may be unhelpful to say simply that "art heals". A more precise statement is that arts-based interventions may support health-related processes that contribute to prevention, coping, resilience and behavioural change. This distinction protects scientific credibility while preserving the human power of art.

The proposed eight-domain framework offers a way to operationalise this field. By structuring intervention design around body, thought, emotions, meaning, social connection, professional life, financial behaviour and digital health, it becomes possible to translate well-being into domains that can be assessed, acted upon and studied.

For medical and clinical research, the next step is empirical validation. Pilot studies should test feasibility, acceptability and preliminary outcomes. Randomised trials should compare arts-based interventions with usual care, waitlist controls or active comparators. Implementation studies should examine how to integrate programmes into primary care, occupational health and community health systems. Economic evaluations should assess whether such interventions reduce pressure on health services or improve productivity and quality of life.

## Limitations

This article has several limitations. First, it is a narrative review and conceptual framework rather than a systematic review or meta-analysis. Therefore, it does not provide pooled effect sizes or formal risk-of-bias assessment. Second, the field of arts-based health interventions is heterogeneous, which limits generalisation. Third, the proposed eight-domain framework requires empirical testing before it can be considered validated.

Fourth, some pathways proposed in this article are theoretically plausible but require stronger mechanistic research. Fifth, cultural context matters. What counts as meaningful art, acceptable expression or safe participation varies across communities. Finally, clinical translation requires collaboration among clinicians, researchers, artists, patients, community organisations and policymakers.

## Conclusion

Arts-based well-being interventions represent a promising complementary field for preventive medical science. Their potential lies not in replacing clinical care, but in addressing human dimensions that strongly influence health: stress, emotion, meaning, social connection and behaviour. These dimensions are not decorative. They are part of the lived ecology of disease risk and recovery.

The proposed eight-domain framework may help clinicians and researchers design more structured, measurable and ethically responsible interventions. By connecting body, thought, emotions, transcendence and meaning, social connection, professional life, financial behaviour and digital health, the framework seeks to translate well-being into preventive medical pathways.

Medicine must continue to advance through evidence, technology and treatment. But if it also wishes to prevent disease and human suffering, it must pay attention to the conditions under which people live, feel, work, relate, sleep, move, hope and make meaning. Art may not cure every disease. Yet it can help human beings recover expression, connection, dignity and purpose. For that reason, it deserves a serious and scientifically responsible place in the future of preventive and humanised medical science.

## Declarations


## Funding

No external funding was received for this manuscript.


## Conflicts of interest

The author declares that he has developed educational and well-being frameworks related to art-based learning and human development. No commercial or financial conflict of interest is declared in relation to this manuscript.

## Ethical approval

Not applicable. This article does not involve human participants, clinical intervention or patient data.

## Informed consent

Not applicable.

## Data availability

No datasets were generated or analysed for this article.

## Author contribution

The author conceptualised, drafted and revised the manuscript.

## Clinical disclaimer

The article is educational and scientific in scope. Arts-based well-being interventions are presented as complementary preventive strategies and not as replacements for medical diagnosis, treatment, psychotherapy, pharmacological care or emergency intervention.

## References


1. World Health Organization. (2022) Guidelines on mental health at work. Geneva: World Health Organization.
2. World Health Organization. (2024) Mental health at work. Geneva: World Health Organization.
3. World Health Organization. (2013) Global action plan for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases 2013-2020. Geneva: World Health Organization.
4. GBD 2019 Diseases and Injuries Collaborators. (2020) Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019. Lancet. 396: 1204-1222.
5. GBD 2019 Risk Factors Collaborators. (2020) Global burden of 87 risk factors in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019. Lancet. 396: 1223-1249.
6. Afshin A, Sur PJ, Fay KA, et al. (2019) Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990-2017. Lancet. 393: 1958-1972.
7. World Health Organization. (1946) Constitution of the World Health Organization. Geneva: World Health Organization.
8. Marmot M. (2005) Social determinants of health inequalities. Lancet. 365: 1099-1104.
9. Marmot M, Allen J, Bell R, Bloomer E, Goldblatt P. (2012) WHO European review of social determinants of health and the health divide. Lancet. 380: 1011-1029.
10. Braveman P, Gottlieb L. (2014) The social determinants of health: it is time to consider the causes of the causes. Public Health Rep. 129 2: 19-31.
11. Fancourt D, Finn S. (2019) What is the evidence on the role of the arts in improving health and well-being? A scoping review. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe.
12. Stuckey HL, Nobel J. (2010) The connection between art, healing, and public health: a review of current literature. Am J Public Health. 100: 254-263.
13. Clift S. (2012) Creative arts as a public health resource: moving from practice-based research to evidence-based practice. Perspect Public Health. 132: 120-127.
14. Jensen A, Stickley T, Torrissen W, Stigmar K. (2024) The impact of arts on prescription on individual health and wellbeing: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Front Public Health. 12: 1412306.
15. Tjasink M, Keiller E, Stephens M, Carr CE, Priebe S. (2023) Art therapy-based interventions to address burnout and psychosocial distress in healthcare workers: a systematic review. BMC Health Serv Res. 23: 1059. doi:10.1186/s12913-023-09958-8.
16. Engel T, Gowda D, Sandhu JS, Banerjee S. (2023) Art interventions to mitigate burnout in health care professionals: a systematic review. Perm J. 27: 23.018. doi:10.7812/TPP/23.018.
17. Malchiodi CA. (2011) Handbook of Art Therapy. 2nd ed. New York: Guilford Press.
18. Kaimal G, Ray K, Muniz J. (2016) Reduction of cortisol levels and participants' responses following art making. Art Ther. 33: 74-80.
19. Pennebaker JW, Smyth JM. (2016) Opening Up by Writing It Down. 3rd ed. New York: Guilford Press.
20. Karkou V, Sanderson P. (2006) Arts Therapies: A Research-Based Map of the Field. Edinburgh: Elsevier.
21. McEwen BS. (1998) Protective and damaging effects of stress mediators. N Engl J Med. 338: 171-179.
22. McEwen BS, Stellar E. (1993) Stress and the individual: mechanisms leading to disease. Arch Intern Med. 153: 2093-2101.
23. Juster RP, McEwen BS, Lupien SJ. (2010) Allostatic load biomarkers of chronic stress and impact on health. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 35: 2-16.
24. Chrousos GP. (2009) Stress and disorders of the stress system. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 5: 374-381.
25. Cohen S, Janicki-Deverts D, Miller GE. (2007) Psychological stress and disease. JAMA. 298: 1685-1687.
26. Steptoe A, Kivimaki M. (2012) Stress and cardiovascular disease. Nat Rev Cardiol. 9: 360-370.
27. Kivimaki M, Steptoe A. (2018) Effects of stress on the development and progression of cardiovascular disease. Nat Rev Cardiol. 15: 215-229.
28. Rosengren A, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, et al. (2004) Association of psychosocial risk factors with risk of acute myocardial infarction in 11119 cases and 13648 controls. Lancet. 364: 953-962.
29. Slavich GM, Irwin MR. (2014) From stress to inflammation and major depressive disorder. Psychol Bull. 140: 774-815.
30. Ryff CD. (1989) Happiness is everything, or is it? Explorations on the meaning of psychological well-being. J Pers Soc Psychol. 57: 1069-1081.
31. Keyes CLM. (2002) The mental health continuum: from languishing to flourishing in life. J Health Soc Behav. 43: 207-222.
32. Seligman MEP. (2011) Flourish. New York: Free Press.
33. Diener E, Chan MY. (2011) Happy people live longer: subjective well-being contributes to health and longevity. Appl Psychol Health Well Being. 3: 1-43.
34. Boehm JK, Kubzansky LD. (2012) The heart's content: the association between positive psychological well-being and cardiovascular health. Psychol Bull. 138: 655-691.
35. Kubzansky LD, Huffman JC, Boehm JK, et al. (2018) Positive psychological well-being and cardiovascular disease: JACC health promotion series. J Am Coll Cardiol. 72: 1382-1396.
36. Kim ES, Strecher VJ, Ryff CD. (2014) Purpose in life and use of preventive health care services. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 111: 16331-

16336.
37. Holt-Lunstad J, Smith TB, Layton JB. (2010) Social relationships and mortality risk: a meta-analytic review. PLoS Med. 7: e1000316.
38. Holt-Lunstad J. (2022) Social connection as a public health issue: the evidence and a systemic framework for prioritizing the social in social determinants of health. Annu Rev Public Health. 43: 193-213.
39. Cacioppo JT, Cacioppo S. (2018) The growing problem of loneliness. Lancet. 391: 426.
40. Cohen S, Doyle WJ, Skoner DP, Rabin BS, Gwaltney JM. (1997) Social ties and susceptibility to the common cold. JAMA. 277: 1940-1944.
41. Goyal M, Singh S, Sibinga EMS, et al. (2014) Meditation programs for psychological stress and well-being. JAMA Intern Med. 174: 357-368.
42. Maslach C, Jackson SE, Leiter MP. (1996) Maslach Burnout Inventory Manual. 3rd ed. Palo Alto: Consulting Psychologists Press.
43. West CP, Dyrbye LN, Shanafelt TD. (2018) Physician burnout: contributors, consequences and solutions. J Intern Med. 283: 516-529.
44. Dyrbye LN, Shanafelt TD. (2011) Physician burnout: a potential threat to successful health care reform. JAMA. 305: 2009-2010.
45. Shanafelt TD, Noseworthy JH. (2017) Executive leadership and physician well-being. Mayo Clin Proc. 92: 129-146.
46. Panagioti M, Geraghty K, Johnson J, et al. (2018) Association between physician burnout and patient safety. JAMA Intern Med. 178: 1317-1331.
47. Rippe JM. (2018) Lifestyle medicine: the health promoting power of daily habits and practices. Am J Lifestyle Med. 12: 499-512.
48. Lianov L, Johnson M. (2010) Physician competencies for prescribing lifestyle medicine. JAMA. 304: 202-203.
49. Lloyd-Jones DM, Allen NB, Anderson CAM, et al. (2022) Life's Essential 8: updating and enhancing the American Heart Association's construct of cardiovascular health. Circulation. 146: e18-e43.
50. Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, et al. (2020) World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. Br J Sports Med. 54: 1451-1462.
51. Watson NF, Badr MS, Belenky G, et al. (2015) Recommended amount of sleep for a healthy adult. J Clin Sleep Med. 11: 591-592.
52. Bradt J, Dileo C, Magill L, Teague A. (2016) Music interventions for improving psychological and physical outcomes in cancer patients. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 8: CD006911.
53. Bradt J, Dileo C, Shim M. (2013) Music interventions for preoperative anxiety. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 6: CD006908.
54. de Witte M, Spruit A, van Hooren S, Moonen X, Stams GJ. (2020) Effects of music interventions on stress-related outcomes: a systematic review and two meta-analyses. Health Psychol Rev. 14: 294-324.
55. American Art Therapy Association. (2017) Art therapy: professional scope and standards. Alexandria, VA: American Art Therapy Association.
56. Reynolds F. (2012) Art therapy after stroke: evidence and possibilities. Disabil Rehabil. 34: 1840-1848.
57. Fraser KD, al Sayah F. (2011) Arts-based methods in health research: a systematic review of the literature. Int J Qual Methods. 10: 335-351.
58. Daykin N. (2019) Arts, Health and Well-Being: A Critical Perspective on Research, Policy and Practice. London: Routledge.
59. Fancourt D, Steptoe A. (2019) Cultural engagement and mental health: does socio-economic status explain the association? Soc Sci Med. 220: 110-119.
60. Fancourt D, Tymoszuk U. (2019) Cultural engagement and incident depression in older adults: evidence from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. Br J Psychiatry. 214: 225-229.
61. Noice H, Noice T, Kramer AF. (2014) Participatory arts for older adults: a review of benefits and challenges. Gerontologist. 54: 741-753.
62. Camic PM, Chatterjee HJ. (2013) Museums and art galleries as partners for public health interventions. Perspect Public Health. 133: 66-71.
63. Thomson LJM, Chatterjee HJ. (2016) Well-being with objects: evaluating a museum object-handling intervention for older adults in health care settings. J Appl Arts Health. 7: 349-362.
64. Bickerdike L, Booth A, Wilson PM, Farley K, Wright K. (2017) Social prescribing: less rhetoric and more reality. BMJ Open. 7: e013384.
65. Chatterjee HJ, Camic PM, Lockyer B, Thomson LJM. (2018) Non-clinical community interventions: a systematised review of social prescribing schemes. Arts Health. 10: 97-123.
66. Husk K, Blockley K, Lovell R, et al. (2020) What approaches to social prescribing work, for whom, and in what circumstances? Health Soc Care Community. 28: 309-324.
67. Polley M, Fleming J, Anfilogoff T, Carpenter A. (2017) Making Sense of Social Prescribing. London: University of Westminster.
68. Stickley T, Hui A. (2012) Social prescribing through arts on prescription in a UK city: participants' perspectives. Public Health. 126: 574-579.
69. Frankl VE. (2006) Man's Search for Meaning. Boston: Beacon Press.
70. World Health Organization. (2015) Public health implications of excessive use of the internet, computers, smartphones and similar electronic devices. Geneva: World Health Organization.
71. Twenge JM, Campbell WK. (2018) Associations between screen time and lower psychological well-being among children and adolescents. Prev Med Rep. 12: 271-283.
72. Orben A, Przybylski AK. (2019) The association between adolescent well-being and digital technology use. Nat Hum Behav. 3: 173-182.
73. Hale L, Guan S. (2017) Screen time and sleep among school-aged children and adolescents: a systematic literature review. Sleep Med Rev. 21: 50-58.
74. Przybylski AK, Weinstein N. (2017) A large-scale test of the Goldilocks hypothesis. Psychol Sci. 28: 204-215.
75. Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. (1983) A global measure of perceived stress. J Health Soc Behav. 24: 385-396.
76. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW. (2001) The PHQ-9. J Gen Intern Med. 16: 606-613.
77. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JBW, Lowe B. (2006) A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch Intern Med. 166: 1092-1097.
78. Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. (1989) The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index. Psychiatry Res. 28: 193-213.
79. Ware JE, Sherbourne CD. (1992) The MOS 36-item short-form health survey. Med Care. 30: 473-483.
80. Schaufeli WB, Salanova M, Gonzalez-Roma V, Bakker AB. (2002) The measurement of engagement and burnout. J Happiness Stud. 3: 71-92.
81. EuroQol Group. (1990) EuroQol: a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality of life. Health Policy. 16: 199-208.

**Cite this article:** Dr. Ignacio Bonasa Alzuria. (2026) Art-Based Well-Being Interventions for Stress-Related Clinical Risk: A Narrative Review and Translational Framework for Preventive Medical Science. Japan Journal of Medical Science 7 (2): 402-409.

**Copyright:** ©2026 Dr. Ignacio Bonasa Alzuria. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.