

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Observations regarding the dynamics of confrontation in FOREST ENVIRONMENT AND URBAN ENVIRONMENT: A POSSIBILITY OF SHOOTING AT SHORT RANGE AND FROM BEHIND NO EVIDENCE OF EXECUTION

Claude Jacques Cham briard

Professor (Assistant) at Federal University of Rio de Janeiro

Corresponding author

Claude Jacques Cham briard. Professor (Assistant) at Federal University of Rio de Janeiro

Received: 27 December 2025

Accepted: 05 January 2026

Published: 02 February 2026

Copyright

©2026 Claude Jacques Cham briard

OPEN ACCESS

Keywords: 1-SECURITYFORCES;2-NARCOTERRORISTS;3- Drugtraffickers;4-Armedconfrontation

Dear Editor

I am forwarding this letter with the aim of contributing to the technical and scientific discussion on the interpretation of ballistic findings in armed confrontations involving Brazilian public security forces. The topic is especially relevant in light of operations carried out against drug traffickers — Classified by some analysts as narcoterrorists — and also against militias, all recognized as criminal groups that exert intense territorial control and military power in various regions of the country. The presence of these agents, widely publicized in official documents and Intelligence reports constitute a well-known fact, requiring no specific proof.

Armed confrontations involving police forces and criminal groups in peripheral urban areas and wooded regions have become increasingly frequent in Brazil. These clashes generally occur against drug traffickers, narcoterrorists — as they are termed in some literature and by the public — and militias, all actors recognized as being involved in violent dynamics that require no individual verification, given the widespread notoriety of the phenomenon. These confrontations present peculiar characteristics: high mobility of the targets, uneven terrain, limited visibility, multiple threat vectors, and, above all, the predominance of rifle fire at varying distances, often less than a few meters.

Close-quarters gunfire in an urban confrontation is a high-risk tactical situation known as Close Quarters Battle (CQB). In these scenarios, proximity to the target is minimal, requiring specialized techniques and immediate decision-making by security forces.

To help the reader understand how confrontations occur in urban combat, we have included a photograph retrieved from the internet.¹

This shows the importance of special forces training and proximity to confrontation.



In public debate, shots in the back or shots fired at close range often generate immediate suspicions of execution. However, specialized literature on confrontation dynamics, forensic ballistics, and human behavior under extreme stress demonstrates that such wound patterns are compatible.

with real-life shooting situations, especially in tactical progression operations in forests or dense urban areas. Thus, it becomes relevant to clarify, based on technical and scientific principles, how these interactions are structured [1].

And why being shot in the back can occur within the normal operational parameters of a confrontation.

To help the reader understand that shots fired as from behind can occur in urban combat confrontations, we have provided a photograph retrieved from the internet² that shows a possible scenario.



We can also mention a situation that can occur both in confrontations in the woods and within communities, when teams move to surround the criminals and, while one part of the group is in direct confrontation, another part moves to close the encirclement, approaching from behind.

In this context, there is no possibility of attempting to surrender, given that the confrontation is ongoing, which could result in a redirection of gunfire, compromising the safety of the arriving team.

In the image provided alongside, we seek to demonstrate the reality of what occurs when the siege closes in and shots are fired, potentially hitting the backs of those involved, not necessarily in an execution situation, but merely... a consequence of a shooting [2].



In these eventualities, in response to unjust aggression, as a result of shots fired by the second team, it may happen that someone is hit in the back, which, under no circumstances, can mean that any type of execution has occurred.

The interpretation of traces left on corpses and in the environment of the confrontation requires detailed contextual analysis, especially when there are signs of close-range combat (such as tattooing and soot residue) or gunshot wounds in the posterior region of the body. Such findings, sometimes hastily and mistakenly interpreted as suggestive of execution, are largely compatible with real confrontations, both in wooded areas and in densely built-up urban zones. This letter seeks to demonstrate, based on the relevant literature and forensic experience, that these ballistic patterns

are part of the legitimate dynamics of combat and do not constitute isolated evidence of execution.

Introduction

Armed confrontations in forest, jungle, or dense woodland environments present particularities that significantly affect the dynamics of gunfire, the distance between antagonists, and the interpretation of traces found on the corpse. Irregular topography, dense vegetation, limited visibility, acoustic muffled conditions, and the need for silent progression make encounters with opponents unpredictable, potentially occurring at distances of less than 1 meter. In these situations, instinctive, reactive, or moving shots are common, favoring the formation of tattoos and other typical signs of close-range fire.

In parallel, Brazilian urban operations—in favelas, densely populated areas, areas with overlapping buildings, alleys, narrow lanes, and irregular structures—also impose severe limitations on the field of vision and maneuvering space. Police action in these locations occurs predominantly against **armed groups such as drug traffickers, narcoterrorists, and militias**, whose presence is recognized, notorious, and widely documented. Such environments favor immediate contact, ambushes, and sudden confrontations, in which close-range shots and shots from behind are possible and fully compatible with a real clash.

Therefore, the characterization of tattooing, smudging, or the subsequent entry of the projectile cannot be interpreted in isolation as a sign of execution; it must be analyzed within its operational and tactical logic.

Operational Context of the Confrontation Operational Dynamics of Confrontations in Forests and Urban Areas

Environments with dense vegetation and haphazardly urbanized communities share critical factors: narrow corridors, uneven cover, blocks of shadow, segmented visibility, and lines of progression that sometimes force police teams to advance toward gunfire whose origin is not immediately identified.

Criminal groups in these regions typically operate in scattered formations, making rapid advance, retreat, and flanking movements, using the terrain and obstacles as cover. Typical behavior observed in videos, police investigations, and operational reports describes criminals running between structures, ditches, alleys, or tree trunks, alternating firing positions.

In this context, the occurrence of shots fired from non-frontal angles—lateral or rear—ceases to be an exception and becomes a common characteristic of the theater of operations.

Forest environment

Confrontations in dense forest areas involve operational characteristics that increase the likelihood of close contact:

- extremely short lines of sight;
- dense vegetation that prevents identification of the opponent until the last moments;
- noise dampening, making it difficult to perceive approaching objects;
- Police advances in compact and silent formations;
- high probability of sudden encounters at a distance of less than 3 meters.

Studies conducted in jungle operations and in military manuals. (COT/DPF, National Force, FM 90-5—*Jungle Operations*) demonstrate that

In such environments, the first shot often occurs between 0.5 and 3 meters.

Dynamics of the forces in conflict

In the scenario described, the conflict is between:

- **Police forces**, wearing dark uniforms, advancing tactically, discreet and coordinated;
- **Armed offenders** — drug traffickers, narcoterrorists, or militia members — who employ camouflage clothing, prior knowledge of the terrain, and ambush techniques.

The combination of low visibility, constant movement, and shortened distances exponentially increases the chance of reactive, instinctive, and short-range shots.

Short-Range and Back stabber Shooting: Natural Behavior in Real-Life Confrontations

Two situations, widely recognized in the literature on combat and criminal forensics, deserve highlighting:

- a) Police operation against a partially hidden target

The police officer advances while being shot at. The offender, positioned behind obstacles, may only expose part of their body. When moving to new cover, they can be hit from rear angles, even if their back was not turned when the shot was fired. The sudden change of direction generates varied ballistic profiles.

- b) The offender runs and shoots backward.

This is one of the most classic and documented scenarios in the investigation of confrontations. The offender, upon noticing the advancing police, runs away and simultaneously fires his weapon backward, aiming inaccurately. This maneuver, known in military literature as backward shooting during retreat, causes:

- Unstable shooting mode,
- trunk rotation,
- exposure of the back at different angles,
- drastic reduction in trajectory control.

When running and shooting backward, the offender often loses balance, abruptly changes their body orientation, and presents their back as the predominant shooting surface. In this pattern, shots from behind are highly compatible with the dynamism of combat.

In these cases, forensic experts may find subsequent injuries without any indication of execution:

- physiologically escape position,
- chaotic dispersion of projectiles,
- absence of a close-range shooting pattern,
- Consistency with witness accounts and footage.

These elements paint a typical picture of confrontation, not execution.

Expert characteristics

According to classic forensic medicine literature, shots fired at closer range can produce:

- tattooing zone (dermal impregnation by gunpowder partially burned);
- smoke;
- singeing;
- Wipe halo and regular entry edges.

These findings are extensively described by Genival Velo de França, Di Maio, Franchini, and Ribeiro.

Natural operation alocurrence

In real-life confrontations, especially in wooded areas or confined urban environments:

environments:

- Police officers and offenders may unintentionally reduce the distance between them;
- The attacker may suddenly appear from less than 2 meters away;
- Shots are often fired while on the move;
- Weapons may be partially supported, tilted, or unstable.

International literature highlights that close-quarters gunfights produce at least seven in situations of legitimate confrontation, without any indication of execution.

Examples from Literature and Real-World Cases International Literature

Di Maio reports that shootouts in confined spaces frequently result in tattooing, even in lawful operations. FBI studies show that about half of police confrontations occur within 2 meters.

Literature and national studies

Brazilian research in jungle areas identifies "pockets of immediate contact," with shots fired at less than 1 meter. Reports from SENASP (National Public Security Secretariat) on the Legal Amazon also document such occurrences in genuine confrontations involving heavily armed criminal groups.

Expert experience

Analyses conducted by various forensic medical institutions show that oblique shots, shots fired at an upward angle, laterally, or shots fired while running can produce atypical gunpowder dispersion patterns, simulating short-range shots even when the shooter is not close to the target.

Expert Assessment: Absence of Evidence of Execution Despite the Location of the Wounds

Characterizing the execution requires objective signs:

- close-range or contact shooting with a highly specific pattern,
- absence of confrontation dynamics,
- static positioning of the victim,
- pattern of repeated firing in vital areas at closer range,
- operational and tactical context of the confrontation;
- compatibility of projectile trajectories with movement;
- absence of signs of physical restraint;
- absence of evidence of contact firing;
- Relationship between the position of the corpse, vegetation, and dispersal of capsules;
- Convergence of accounts, evidence, and reconstruction of the scene.

Only the combination of factors allows for technically sound conclusions.

Final Considerations

Forensic science plays a crucial role in preventing misinterpretations and hasty analyses based solely on commonsense. Forested environments and areas of precarious urbanization produce highly dynamic confrontations, in which shots fired from the side or from behind, including at closer range, occur as a direct consequence of the movements of those involved.

The aim of this report is to contribute to the public and technical understanding of combat dynamics, reinforcing that shots fired from behind, when placed in a real tactical context and in situations such as fleeing with shots fired backward, do not in themselves constitute evidence of execution, but can be perfectly compatible with actual armed confrontations.

Conclusion

In confrontations involving security forces and **drug traffickers, narcoterrorists, or militia members**, whether in forest environments or urban

areas, close-range and backfire shootings are entirely possible without automatically implying execution. The dynamics of combat, the topography, the low visibility, and the constant movement of the antagonists make such findings expected and consistent with a real confrontation.

The expert analysis must therefore be comprehensive, contextual, and well-founded, avoiding hasty conclusions based on a single ballistic element. Specialized literature and accumulated experience reinforce that tattooing, smudging, and posterior entry of the projectile are conditions frequently present in legitimate confrontations.

REFERENCES

1. Di Maio, V. J. M. (2016) Gunshot Wounds: Practical Aspects of Firearms, Ballistics, and Forensic Techniques. 3rd ed. CRC Press.
2. Franchini, B. (2014) Forensic Medical Traumatology. São Paulo: Saraiva.
3. França, G. V. Medicina Legal. (2022) 12th ed. Rio de Janeiro: Forense.
4. Ribeiro, D. (2018) Applied Forensic Ballistics. Rio de Janeiro: Forense.
5. Nação, S. et al. (2017) "Confrontations in Jungle Areas: Ballistic and Operational Study." Brazilian Journal of Police Sciences, v.8, n.2.
6. FBI Academy – Firearms Training Unit. (2014) Close Range Gunfights: Statistical Overview. Quantico.
7. USAArmy. (1982) Field Manual FM90-5: Jungle Operations. Department of the Army.
8. SENASP – Ministry of Justice. (2019– 2023) Operations Reports in the Legal Amazon.
9. Brito, J. et al. (2015) Terminal Ballistics: Fundamentals and Applications. São Paulo: Millennium.
10. Andersen, T. et al. (2020) Gunfights and Close-Quarter Engagements in Dense Terrain. Journal of Tactical Science.
11. UNODC. (2018–2022) Small Arms Survey and reports on armed violence in Latin America.
12. Ministry of Justice. (2021) Map of Armed Violence and Territories Dominated by Criminal Factions and Militias. Brasília.
13. Cano, I.; Duarte, T. (2020) Ballistics and Lethality in Police Confrontations in Brazil. Public Security Dossier.
14. Braga, A. A.; Cook, P. (2019) Policing Gun Violence in High-Risk Urban Environments. Oxford University Press.

Cite this article: Claude Jacques Chambriard. (2026) Observations regarding the dynamics of confrontation in FOREST ENVIRONMENT AND URBAN ENVIRONMENT: A POSSIBILITY OF SHOOTING AT SHORT RANGE AND FROM BEHIND NO EVIDENCE OF EXECUTION. Japan Journal of Medical Science 7 (1): 358-359.

Copyright: ©2026 Claude Jacques Chambriard. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.