

Research Article

**The Dissolving Self in the Therapeutic Encounter:
Mysticism, Ego Dissolution, and Clinical Transformation**

Julian Y. Ungar-Sargon, MD, PhD

*Borra College of Health Science Dominican University River Forest IL****Corresponding author**Julian Y. Ungar-Sargon, MD, PhD Borra College of
Health Science Dominican University River Forest IL**Received:** 11 December 2025**Accepted:** 17 December 2025**Published:** 13 January 2026**Copyright**© 2026 Julian Y. Ungar-Sargon
OPEN ACCESS**Abstract**

This article presents a comprehensive academic exposition of the dissolving self within the therapeutic encounter, integrating Jewish mystical theology, psychodynamic theory, and contemporary neuroscience. Drawing upon the foundational work of Katzman, Bernstein, and Ponak on the authentic mystical self; Ungar-Sargon's theology of tzimtzum, Or HaGanuz, and sacred clinical space; and neuroscientific research on ego dissolution conducted by Letheby, Gerrans, and Stoliker, this paper argues that therapeutic transformation frequently requires a disciplined, ethically-contained dissolution of rigid egoic structures in both clinician and patient. The analysis systematically develops theoretical foundations, mystical parallels, clinical applications, phenomenological considerations, risks, and ethical boundaries. The exposition culminates in an integrated model of therapeutic tzimtzum that bridges theology, psychology, and clinical practice, offering practitioners a theoretically grounded framework for understanding and facilitating transformative therapeutic encounters.

Keywords: Ego dissolution; tzimtzum; therapeutic encounter; Jewish mysticism; Kabbalah; Or HaGanuz; psychodynamic therapy; default mode network; predictive processing; katnut; gadlut; intersubjectivity; relational psychoanalysis; sacred listening; clinical transformation; Lurianic cosmology; self-transcendence; contemplative neuroscience; psychedelic-assisted therapy; mystical experience



Introduction From Bounded Ego to Dissolving Self

Modern Western clinical practice inherits a deeply embedded concept of the self as a bounded, autonomous interiority. From Descartes' *cogito ergo sum* to Freud's structural model of the psyche to contemporary resilience literature, the self has been consistently imagined as a kind of captain steering through life's adversities, a unified executive function maintaining coherence against the fragmenting forces of experience [1]. This Cartesian legacy pervades psychiatric nosology, psychological assessment, and therapeutic intervention, shaping not merely clinical technique but the fundamental ontological assumptions through which both practitioners and patients understand human subjectivity [2].

Yet clinicians routinely encounter patients whose lived experiences fracture this assumption entirely. Individuals present who feel dispersed, dissolved, or opened into unfamiliar realms of consciousness that resist categorization within standard diagnostic frameworks [3]. The chronic pain patient describes losing the boundary between self and suffering; the trauma survivor reports fragmentation that defies narrative reconstruction; the dying patient speaks of already becoming something other than what they were. Such destabilization, rather than being purely pathological requiring pharmacological suppression or cognitive restructuring, often initiates therapeutic and spiritual growth of the most profound kind [4]. The question thus arises: what theoretical frameworks can account for dissolution as transformation rather than mere disintegration?

This paper situates these clinical experiences within a broader philosophical and theological discourse that challenges the hegemony of bounded selfhood. Drawing from Jewish mysticism's understanding of selfhood as an emanation of Divine consciousness rather than an autonomous substance, psychodynamic theories of relational self-formation that emphasize intersubjective constitution, and neuroscience's emerging mapping of ego dissolution as a specific neural phenomenon, it proposes an integrated model that elucidates how the therapeutic encounter becomes a liminal space where the ego loosens and the deeper Self emerges [5]. The thesis advanced here is that therapeutic transformation frequently requires the clinician to enact what Kabbalistic tradition terms *tzimtzum*—a deliberate contraction of one's own presence to create space for the Other's emergence—and that this sacred withdrawal enables a corresponding dissolution and reconstitution of the patient's rigid self-structures [6].

The stakes of this inquiry extend beyond academic interest. Mental health practice increasingly confronts the limitations of models predicated on strengthening ego functions when patients present with suffering that arises precisely from over-identification with egoic structures [7]. Anxiety disorders often involve hypervigilant self-monitoring; depression frequently entails ruminative self-referential processing; personality disorders manifest as rigid self-concepts that resist therapeutic intervention [8]. If the problem is not insufficient ego strength but rather excessive identification with a constrictive self-structure, then clinical intervention requires a different theoretical orientation altogether—one that can conceptualize dissolution as potentially healing rather than inherently pathological.

Psychodynamic and Mystical Models of the Self

Classical psychodynamic theory, emerging from Freud's topographical and structural models, conceptualized the ego as a unitary regulator mediating between instinctual drives (*id*), internalized social prohibitions (*superego*), and external reality [9]. The ego was imagined as developing from an undifferentiated state through a process of boundary formation, progressively distinguishing self from other, inside from outside, wish from perception. Psychopathology, in this framework, resulted from either developmental arrests in ego formation or regressive dissolution of achieved ego functions under conditions of overwhelming stress [10]. The therapeutic goal was accordingly ego strengthening: enhancing reality testing, impulse control, defensive flexibility, and synthetic functioning.

However, subsequent developments in psychoanalytic theory progressively undermined this bounded ego model. Object relations theorists demonstrated that the self is constituted through internalized relational configurations rather than emerging as an autonomous entity [11]. Winnicott's concept of the 'true self' versus 'false self' suggested that apparent ego strength might actually reflect compliant adaptation rather than authentic selfhood [12]. Kohut's self psychology reframed narcissistic disorders as reflecting deficits in self-cohesion requiring empathic mirroring rather than interpretive ego analysis [13]. Most radically, intersubjective approaches revealed the self as a co-constructed field shaped by ongoing interaction and mutual recognition, challenging the very notion of a pre-given self that precedes relationship [14].

Contemporary relational psychoanalysis extends this trajectory by understanding therapeutic action as occurring within an intersubjective field where both analyst and patient are mutually constituting and mutually transforming [15]. Benjamin's concept of mutual recognition suggests that subjectivity emerges only through acknowledgment by an Other who is experienced as a separate subject rather than merely an object of one's projections [16]. This relational turn opens space for understanding dissolution not as regression but as the loosening of false self-structures that prevent authentic encounter. When the defensive ego softens, genuine meeting becomes possible.

Katzman, Bernstein, and Ponak extend this relational trajectory by explicitly integrating Jewish mystical thought, suggesting that the self is not merely relational but fundamentally spiritual—an unfolding process linked to the Infinite (*Ein Sof*) [17]. Their framework draws upon Hasidic psychology's understanding that human consciousness participates in Divine consciousness, that individual selfhood is not an autonomous substance but a particular configuration of the infinite divine light contracted to enable manifest existence. This theological anthropology radically reframes the meaning of ego dissolution: rather than representing pathological fragmentation, the loosening of rigid self-boundaries can constitute an opening toward the deeper ground of being from which all selfhood emerges.

Hasidic phenomenology offers a particularly sophisticated map of consciousness states relevant to clinical work. The concepts of *katnut* (constricted consciousness) and *gadlut* (expanded consciousness) describe qualitatively different modes of self-experience [18]. *Katnut* reflects egoic contraction: consciousness turned inward upon itself, characterized by defensiveness, fear, isolation, and trauma-bound narrative. The individual in *katnut* experiences themselves as fundamentally separate from others and from ultimate reality, trapped within the confines of a limited and often painful self-structure. *Gadlut*, by contrast, signifies expanded awareness: consciousness opening beyond its usual boundaries toward relational connection, spiritual insight, and integrative comprehension [19]. The movement between these states—from constriction to expansion—parallels clinical transitions from rigid self-structures toward more fluid, resilient identities capable of genuine relationship.

The Hasidic master Rabbi Nachman of Breslov articulated this dynamic with particular psychological acuity. He described how the ego (*yeshut*) operates as a barrier preventing genuine encounter with both the Divine and the Other [20]. Yet this barrier is not simply to be destroyed; rather, it must be transformed through a process he termed *bittul hayesh*—the nullification of ego not into nothingness but into its source in divine infinity. This nullification is not nihilistic dissolution but rather the dropping away of false constructions to reveal authentic selfhood. Rabbi Nachman's teaching that 'where you find God's greatness, there you find God's humility' captures the paradox: the most expanded consciousness entails the most complete letting-go of egoic self-assertion [21].

Neuroscience and Phenomenology of Ego Dissolution

Contemporary neuroscience provides a complementary framework for understanding ego dissolution by mapping its neural correlates and mechanistic underpinnings. The brain constructs a sense of unified selfhood through hierarchical predictive models that integrate multisensory information, memory, emotion, and conceptual knowledge into a coherent narrative identity [22]. This 'minimal phenomenal selfhood' emerges from precision-weighted predictive processing, where the brain continuously generates models of self-in-world and updates them based on prediction error signals [23]. The experience of being a bounded, continuous self navigating through time thus reflects an active construction rather than a passive perception of pre-given reality.

Research on psychedelic-induced ego dissolution has proven particularly illuminating for understanding the mechanisms through which this construction can be temporarily suspended. Studies employing psilocybin, LSD, and DMT demonstrate that these substances reduce activity in the default mode network (DMN)—a collection of brain regions implicated in self-referential processing, autobiographical memory, and mind-wandering [24]. The DMN, which includes the medial prefrontal cortex, posterior cingulate cortex, and temporoparietal junction, appears to function as a kind of neural substrate for the narrative self. When its activity is disrupted, the usual sense of being a bounded individual distinct from the environment correspondingly dissolves [25].

Letheby and Gerrans propose that psychedelics induce ego dissolution by disrupting precision-weighting in hierarchical predictive processing [26]. Under normal conditions, the brain assigns high precision to self-models, treating them as reliable priors that should strongly constrain interpretation of incoming information. Psychedelics appear to relax these precision assignments, rendering self-models more plastic and permeable to revision. Stoliker and colleagues extend this analysis by demonstrating that the degree of ego dissolution correlates with specific alterations in neural entropy and connectivity patterns [27]. Higher entropy states, characterized by increased randomness and decreased constraint in neural activity, correspond to more profound experiences of ego dissolution.

Crucially, ego dissolution is not equivalent to mere fragmentation or disorganization. Research consistently demonstrates that controlled dissolution—occurring within appropriate set and setting—enables reconfiguration of maladaptive beliefs, emotional patterns, and existential frameworks [28]. Carhart-Harris and Friston's REBUS (Relaxed Beliefs Under Psychedelics) model proposes that reduced precision-weighting on high-level priors allows bottom-up information to revise previously rigid beliefs [29]. This mechanism explains why psychedelic-assisted therapy shows remarkable efficacy for conditions characterized by pathological self-models, including treatment-resistant depression, addiction, and existential distress in terminal illness [30]. The therapeutic action occurs not despite ego dissolution but through it.

Similar dissolution phenomena occur through non-pharmacological means. Long-term meditation practitioners report experiences of self-transcendence characterized by dissolution of subject-object duality, loss of the sense of being a separate observer, and profound feelings of interconnection [31]. Neuroimaging studies of advanced meditators reveal altered DMN activity patterns consistent with reduced self-referential processing [32]. Trauma can also induce ego dissolution, though typically in dysregulated and overwhelming forms that produce dissociative fragmentation rather than integrative expansion [33]. The critical variable appears to be whether dissolution occurs within a containing context that enables integration, or whether it overwhelms regulatory capacities and produces lasting destabilization.

induction methods. Subjects report loss of the sense of being a bounded entity distinct from the environment; dissolution of the observing self that normally witnesses experience; altered time perception including feelings of timelessness or eternity; intense experiences of unity, interconnection, or cosmic consciousness; and paradoxical states of 'knowing through unknowing' where conceptual frameworks dissolve while insight deepens [34]. These phenomenological features map remarkably onto descriptions from contemplative traditions across cultures, suggesting that ego dissolution represents a fundamental capacity of human consciousness rather than merely a pharmacological artifact [35].

Deep therapeutic process can induce analogous dissolution experiences. When patients achieve genuine breakthrough in psychotherapy, they frequently describe moments where their familiar sense of self shifted or dissolved. The patient's story collapses, and a new, more coherent one emerges from the ruins [36]. These moments often involve intense affect, altered temporal experience, and a sense of encountering something larger than the individual self. Relational psychoanalysts describe such moments in terms of 'now moments' or 'moments of meeting' that transcend ordinary therapeutic discourse and produce lasting transformation [37]. The clinical challenge lies in creating conditions where such dissolution can occur safely and lead to integration rather than fragmentation.

Therapeutic Tzimtzum: The Clinician's Sacred Withdrawal

The Kabbalistic concept of *tzimtzum*, first articulated systematically by Rabbi Isaac Luria in sixteenth-century Safed, offers a theological model with profound clinical implications [38]. *Tzimtzum* refers to the Divine contraction or withdrawal that, according to Lurianic cosmology, preceded and enabled creation. Prior to *tzimtzum*, the infinite Divine light (Or Ein Sof) filled all reality, leaving no 'space' for anything other than God to exist. Through an act of voluntary self-limitation, the Infinite contracted, creating a *chalal*—an empty space or void within which finite reality could emerge [39]. This primordial withdrawal was not abandonment but rather the supreme act of love: only by contracting could the Infinite make room for the Other to exist.

Ungar-Sargon's application of *tzimtzum* to clinical practice illuminates the therapist's role in creating transformative space [40]. Therapeutic *tzimtzum* entails the clinician's deliberate withdrawal of egoic dominance: suspending interpretive certainty, resisting premature conclusions, withholding the impulse to fill silence with expertise, and cultivating presence rather than power. Just as the Divine contracted to enable creation, the therapist contracts to enable the patient's emergence. This withdrawal is not passive absence but active restraint—a disciplined holding back of one's own fullness to make room for another's unfolding.

The clinical application of *tzimtzum* challenges therapeutic traditions that emphasize the clinician's interpretive activity. In classical psychoanalysis, the analyst's interpretations were understood as the primary agents of change, linking unconscious material to conscious awareness [41]. Even in more relational approaches, there remains temptation to understand therapeutic action as something the clinician does to or for the patient. Therapeutic *tzimtzum* inverts this model: the clinician's primary contribution is creating the conditions within which the patient's own healing capacities can activate. This requires what Bion termed 'negative capability'—the capacity to remain in uncertainties, mysteries, and doubts without irritable reaching after fact and reason [42].

The withdrawal enacted in therapeutic *tzimtzum* involves multiple dimensions. Cognitively, it means suspending diagnostic categorization and theoretical formulation that would reduce the patient to a case of something already known [43]. Emotionally, it involves containing one's own reactions rather than immediately expressing them, creating space for the patient's affect to emerge and be experienced. Relationally, it entails relinquishing the position of the one who knows, acknowledging that the

patient's inner life remains ultimately mysterious even as patterns become discernible. Spiritually, it requires the clinician to recognize that healing ultimately flows from sources beyond clinical technique—what some traditions term grace or what might more neutrally be described as the self-organizing tendencies of complex living systems [44].

This clinical contraction enables the patient to unfold their narrative without intrusion. When the therapist fills therapeutic space with expertise, interpretation, and agenda, the patient often conforms to what they perceive as expected, producing material that fits the clinician's framework rather than authentic self-expression [45]. Therapeutic tzimtzum creates what Winnicott called 'potential space'—an intermediate zone that is neither purely internal nor purely external, where creative play and genuine self-discovery become possible [46]. In this space, the patient's emergent self can take shape without being molded by the therapist's preconceptions.

Importantly, therapeutic tzimtzum also dissolves the clinician's rigid identity as expert. The role of therapist, with its associated competencies, theoretical orientations, and professional identity, can become as constricting as any false self [47]. When clinicians over-identify with their therapeutic persona, they become less available for genuine encounter. The contraction of therapeutic tzimtzum involves the clinician allowing their own professional self-structure to soften, becoming more vulnerable, uncertain, and authentically present. This mutual dissolution—of both patient's defensive self-structure and clinician's professional persona—creates the conditions for what Buber termed the I-Thou encounter [48].

The concept of *reshimu* in Lurianic Kabbalah provides additional clinical insight. After the *tzimtzum*, a residual trace (*reshimu*) of the Divine light remained within the *chalal*, ensuring that the created world retained connection to its infinite source [49]. Similarly, therapeutic tzimtzum is not complete withdrawal into absence. The clinician remains present as an attentive witness, a resonant other, a holding presence that provides the *reshimu* within the therapeutic space. This trace presence ensures that the patient's dissolution occurs within relationship rather than in isolation, enabling integration rather than fragmentation.

The Hidden Light and the Patient's Dissolving Self

Jewish mystical tradition teaches that the primordial light of creation—the light that God declared 'good' on the first day, before the creation of sun, moon, and stars—was hidden away (*ganuz*) for the righteous in the world to come [50]. This Or HaGanuz (hidden or treasured light) was concealed because its intensity would reveal all things with such clarity that the distinction between good and evil would become unbearable in an unredeemed world. Yet the hidden light was not entirely removed; it was secreted within the Torah, within moments of sacred encounter, within the depths of the human soul. Those who attain purified consciousness can access this light even within present existence [51].

The clinical significance of this teaching lies in its reframing of what therapy aims to access. Secular therapeutic models typically understand insight as the correction of cognitive distortions, the making conscious of repressed material, or the development of more adaptive relational patterns [52]. The Or HaGanuz framework suggests that therapeutic insight represents something more: the emergence of a light that was always present but hidden, obscured by the constrictions of egoic self-structure. Healing thus involves not the introduction of something foreign but the revelation of what was concealed within the patient all along.

Kabbalistic teaching holds that Or HaGanuz becomes accessible when egoic obstructions soften [53]. The *klipot* (shells or husks) that occlude the divine light are constituted by excessive self-concern, by the hardening of ego boundaries, by attachment to limited self-definitions. When these structures relax—through prayer, meditation, ethical action, or the grace

of sacred encounter—the hidden light can shine through. In clinical terms, this suggests that therapeutic transformation occurs not by adding insight from outside but by removing the obstacles that prevent the patient's innate wisdom from manifesting.

In clinical work, this metaphor captures the emergence of meaning, coherence, and spiritual insight as the patient's rigid narratives dissolve. Trauma often locks individuals into what we might term a state of *katnut*—constricted consciousness characterized by defensive rigidity, fragmented self-experience, and disconnection from larger meaning [54]. The traumatized individual is trapped within a narrowed world circumscribed by danger, unable to access the expanded awareness that would enable healing. The therapeutic encounter invites a movement toward *gadlut*—expanded consciousness in which the traumatic narrative can be held within a larger context that renders it bearable and ultimately meaningful.

Through sacred listening—the practice of attending to another with complete presence, without agenda or judgment—the patient becomes a living text unfolding in real time [55]. Jewish hermeneutic tradition teaches that Torah can be read on multiple levels: *peshat* (surface meaning), *remez* (hint), *drash* (interpretive), and *sod* (secret or mystical meaning) [56]. Similarly, the patient's discourse operates on multiple levels simultaneously. Their words convey surface content while simultaneously hinting at deeper meanings, inviting interpretation, and concealing mystical depths. The clinician who practices sacred listening attends to all these levels, allowing the patient's fuller truth to emerge without forcing premature interpretation.

As the therapeutic relationship deepens and the patient's defensive structures soften, the narrative undergoes transformation. Old identities—'I am damaged,' 'I am unlovable,' 'I am defined by what was done to me'—begin to dissolve [57]. This dissolution is often frightening; the patient may feel they are losing themselves, disappearing, dying. The clinician's presence through this process provides the containing matrix within which dissolution can occur without fragmentation. And from the dissolution, new possibilities arise. The Or HaGanuz that was always present but hidden begins to shine through the softened structures of the dissolving self.

This framework illuminates why therapeutic transformation often feels like recognition rather than learning. Patients frequently describe breakthrough moments with phrases like 'I always knew this but couldn't see it' or 'It's like remembering something I forgot' [58]. The Or HaGanuz model explains this phenomenology: what emerges in therapy was not absent but hidden, waiting for conditions that would allow its revelation. The dissolving self becomes a site of revelation rather than destruction, a clearing within which the patient's essential nature—their *tzelem Elohim* or divine image—can at last be perceived.

Clinical Manifestations: Vignettes of the Dissolving Self

The theoretical framework developed above finds concrete expression in clinical encounters across diverse presenting concerns. The following composite vignettes, constructed from multiple cases to protect confidentiality while preserving clinical authenticity, illustrate how ego dissolution manifests in therapeutic work and how clinicians might respond from a stance of therapeutic tzimtzum.

The Patient with Chronic Illness. A woman in her fifties presents for psychotherapy following diagnosis with a progressive autoimmune condition. Over months of treatment, she repeatedly describes feeling 'half here, half gone,' as though she is slowly dissolving. She fears this experience, interpreting it as evidence of impending death or psychological deterioration [59]. The clinician's initial impulse might be to reassure, to reinforce her existing identity, to strengthen her 'fighting spirit.' Yet such intervention would miss the therapeutic potential within her dissolution experience.

Through therapeutic *tzimtzum*—creating space without filling it with interpretations or reassurances—the clinician allows her experience to unfold. Over time, a transformation occurs. The dissolution she initially experienced as terrifying becomes a liberation. She recognizes that the identity that is dissolving was one constructed around productivity, achievement, and bodily capacity [60]. As this identity softens, something else emerges: a sense of self grounded not in what she can do but in who she essentially is. The illness, while remaining painful, becomes a vehicle for spiritual development rather than merely a catastrophe to be survived.

The Combat Veteran. A veteran returns from deployment with persistent symptoms meeting criteria for PTSD. He describes moments during combat when the boundary between self and world collapsed—experiences he cannot integrate into his civilian self-understanding. Initially, he interprets these experiences as evidence of ‘going crazy,’ as something shameful to be concealed [61]. Standard PTSD protocols would target symptom reduction through exposure and cognitive restructuring.

Yet these protocols, while evidence-based, may miss the deeper significance of his experience. The ego dissolution he underwent in combat was not merely symptomatic but potentially revelatory—a glimpse of the permeability of self-boundaries that his prior identity had concealed [62]. Through careful therapeutic exploration, conducted from a stance of not-knowing rather than diagnostic certainty, his dissolution experiences can be reframed. What initially appeared as madness reveals itself as grief: grief for fallen comrades, grief for his own lost innocence, grief for the illusion of separate selfhood that combat dissolved. The boundary collapse becomes comprehensible not as pathology but as a form of unwanted mystical experience, what some veteran clinicians term ‘combat-induced spiritual emergence’ [63].

The Dying Patient. A man in his seventies faces terminal diagnosis. As disease progresses, he reports increasingly feeling himself becoming ‘light’—as though his boundaries are becoming transparent and he is beginning to merge with something larger [64]. His family is frightened by this language, fearing he is becoming delirious or psychotic. They request psychiatric consultation to ‘bring him back.’

The clinician who understands ego dissolution as potentially transformative approaches differently. Rather than pathologizing or attempting to suppress his experience through medication, they create space for it through presence. The clinician’s willingness to witness without fear enables him to articulate what is happening: his lifelong sense of being a separate self is softening as death approaches [65]. This dissolution is not psychosis but preparation—a gradual loosening of egoic structures that may facilitate the transition ahead. The clinician’s presence allows this dissolution to become a spiritual transition rather than existential terror, a letting go into the *Or HaGanuz* rather than a falling into nothingness.

The Contemplative Practitioner. A long-term meditation practitioner seeks therapy reporting confusion and distress following a retreat during which her usual sense of self temporarily disappeared completely. She experienced the dissolution as initially liberating but subsequently terrifying [66]. In the weeks following, she struggles to reconstitute a stable sense of identity. Standard clinical assessment might diagnose depersonalization-derealization disorder.

Yet this diagnosis, while capturing phenomenological features, misses the developmental significance of her experience. She underwent what contemplative traditions recognize as a form of ego death—a developmentally significant event that requires integration rather than suppression [67]. Therapeutic work involves neither pathologizing the dissolution nor idealizing it, but rather providing the relational container within which she can process and integrate what occurred. Through this integration, her experience becomes a resource: her glimpse of selflessness informs a more

flexible relationship with identity, one that can move between ordinary self-functioning and recognition of self’s constructed nature.

Ethical Boundaries: When Dissolution Heals and When It Harms

The preceding analysis might suggest that ego dissolution is uniformly beneficial and should be actively cultivated in clinical work. This would be a dangerous misreading. Ego dissolution, when uncontrolled or occurring outside appropriate containment, poses serious risks including psychotic decompensation, retraumatization, and lasting destabilization [68]. The clinical wisdom lies not in promoting dissolution but in creating conditions where dissolution can occur safely when it arises organically, and in recognizing when intervention to prevent or interrupt dissolution is required.

The Kabbalistic sefirotic system provides a framework for understanding this dialectic. *Chesed* (loving-kindness, expansion, flow) represents the dissolving, boundary-softening dimension of clinical work—the openness that enables transformation. *Gevurah* (strength, boundary, containment) represents the structuring dimension—the limits that prevent dissolution from becoming destruction [69]. Authentic therapeutic work requires the integration of both: *chesed* without *gevurah* becomes boundaryless merger; *gevurah* without *chesed* becomes rigid defensiveness. The clinician must embody disciplined containment while offering expansive presence.

Several clinical indicators help distinguish healing dissolution from harmful fragmentation. Integration versus fragmentation: healing dissolution tends to produce increased coherence over time, even if the immediate experience feels chaotic [70]. The patient who has undergone healing dissolution subsequently reports greater capacity for relationship, meaning-making, and emotional regulation. Harmful dissolution produces lasting fragmentation—persistent difficulties with identity, reality testing, or functional capacity that do not resolve with time and support.

Relational context matters crucially. Dissolution occurring within therapeutic relationship, with a clinician who provides both presence and containment, tends toward integration [71]. Dissolution occurring in isolation, without relational witness or support, tends toward fragmentation. This relational principle explains why psychedelic-assisted therapy shows such different outcomes from recreational psychedelic use: the former provides relational containment that the latter lacks.

Timing and pacing also determine outcome. Dissolution that occurs gradually, with opportunities for integration between experiences, tends toward healing [72]. Sudden, overwhelming dissolution that exceeds the patient’s integrative capacity tends toward harm. The clinician’s role includes modulating the pace of therapeutic process, slowing when integration is needed, creating space for deepening when the patient is ready.

Ethical clinical practice regarding dissolution requires clear temporal, relational, and physical boundaries that provide the *gevurah* within which *chesed* can flow safely [73]. Consistent session times, reliable therapeutic frame, and clear role definitions create the container. The clinician must maintain ongoing monitoring for signs of fragmentation versus integration, adjusting approach based on patient response. When dissolution threatens to become overwhelming, grounding interventions become essential: attention to breath, somatic orientation, sensory focus, and narrative anchoring can help reconstitute sufficient ego functioning [74].

Structured reflection after liminal experiences enables integration. When patients undergo significant dissolution experiences within therapy, time must be allocated for processing and meaning-making [75]. The clinician helps the patient articulate what occurred, how it relates to their life narrative, and what it might signify for their continued development. This integration work transforms raw dissolution into developmental achievement.

Certain clinical populations require particular caution. Patients with psychotic disorders may have compromised capacity for managing dissolution experiences; dissolution can precipitate psychotic episodes rather than enabling healing [76]. Patients with severe dissociative disorders may already experience pathological dissolution; additional dissolution could exacerbate rather than heal. Patients with borderline personality organization may lack the ego strength required to integrate dissolution constructively [77]. Clinical judgment must assess each patient's capacity before engaging processes that might induce or permit dissolution.

The ethical principle can be summarized thus: dissolution becomes healing when it expands coherence, agency, and relational capacity; it becomes harmful when it undermines stability and meaning [78]. The clinician's role is to create conditions supporting the former while preventing the latter—to offer the therapeutic *tzimtzum* that makes space for transformation while maintaining the *reshimu* that ensures connection is never lost.

Integration: Toward a Unified Model of Therapeutic Ego Dissolution

The preceding sections have developed mystical, psychodynamic, and neuroscientific perspectives on ego dissolution in the therapeutic encounter. This section integrates these perspectives into a unified model that can guide clinical practice while respecting both psychological safety and mystical depth.

The proposed model identifies five phases in therapeutic ego dissolution, understanding that these phases are not strictly sequential but rather represent iteratively revisited dynamics within the therapeutic process [79].

Phase One: Contraction (Tzimtzum). The clinician initiates the process by withdrawing egoic dominance. This involves suspending interpretive certainty, resisting the impulse to diagnose or formulate prematurely, and creating spacious presence within which the patient can emerge. The clinician's own self-structure softens, professional persona relaxes, and genuine not-knowing becomes possible [80]. This contraction is not passivity but active restraint—the disciplined holding-back that makes room for the Other. Neurologically, we might understand this as the clinician entering a state of reduced self-referential processing, diminished DMN activity, increased openness to bottom-up information from the patient.

Phase Two: Destabilization. Within the space created by therapeutic *tzimtzum*, the patient's rigid self-narratives begin to loosen. Defensive structures that maintained a stable but constrictive identity become more permeable [81]. The patient may experience anxiety, confusion, or disorientation as familiar ways of being no longer feel automatic or inevitable. Neurologically, this corresponds to the relaxation of precision-weighting on pathological self-models—the REBUS state in which prior beliefs become revisable [82]. Psychodynamically, it represents the loosening of false self-structures that prevent authentic experience. Mystically, it is the beginning of the shells (*kliptot*) falling away.

Phase Three: Liminal Field. As both clinician and patient enter states of reduced egoic rigidity, the therapeutic space becomes what might be termed a 'liminal field'—a zone of transformation characterized by openness, attunement, and sacred encounter [83]. The usual subject-object structure of relationship softens; both participants become more permeable to each other and to the larger field within which they are embedded. This liminal field corresponds to what relational psychoanalysts describe as moments of meeting and to what contemplative traditions recognize as shared presence [84]. It is the *chahal* of Lurianic cosmology—the empty space created by withdrawal within which creation becomes possible.

Phase Four: Emergence. Within the liminal field, something new arises. The hidden light (*Or HaGanuz*) that was obscured by rigid self-structures begins to manifest as insight, meaning, coherence, and spiritual depth

[85]. The patient accesses wisdom they could not previously reach; traumatic material becomes integratable; existential questions find not answers but resolution through shift in consciousness. This emergence is not created by therapeutic intervention but enabled by the conditions therapy has established. The clinician's role is witnessing and supporting integration rather than producing or interpreting what emerges [86].

Phase Five: Integration. The final phase involves the coalescing of a new, expanded self-structure (*gadlut*) that incorporates insights from dissolution while restoring functional coherence [87]. This integration is not a return to the prior self-state but the achievement of a new level of organization that includes but transcends what preceded. The patient emerges with increased capacity for relationship, meaning-making, and adaptive functioning. Neurologically, new patterns of connectivity have formed; psychodynamically, a more authentic self-organization has consolidated; mystically, the process of *tikkun* (repair) has advanced [88].

This model respects both the psychological safety required for clinical responsibility and the mystical depth that enables profound transformation. It recognizes that therapy at its best operates not merely as psychological technique but as sacred-human encounter, a meeting place where the bounded ego can safely soften and the deeper Self can emerge [89]. The clinician who works from this understanding serves not as expert fixing dysfunction but as witness and midwife to transformation that ultimately flows from sources beyond clinical technique.

The model also acknowledges limits. Not all patients will undergo dramatic dissolution experiences; for many, therapeutic progress occurs through more gradual processes of insight and behavioral change. The model does not prescribe dissolution but rather provides a framework for understanding and containing it when it occurs. It cautions against artificially inducing dissolution and emphasizes the importance of *gevurah* alongside *chesed* [90]. The goal is not dissolution for its own sake but the healing that dissolution can enable when it occurs within appropriate containment.

Implications for Clinical Training and Practice

The theoretical framework developed in this paper carries significant implications for how clinicians are trained and how they approach their ongoing practice. If therapeutic transformation frequently involves ego dissolution, then clinicians must develop capacities that traditional training often neglects.

First, clinicians benefit from experiential familiarity with altered states of consciousness. One cannot guide another through territory one has never visited [91]. This does not necessarily require psychedelic experience, but it does suggest the value of contemplative practice, deep therapeutic work on oneself, or other disciplines that provide firsthand acquaintance with ego-loosening states. A clinician who has never experienced the dissolution of familiar self-boundaries may inadvertently pathologize or truncate such experiences in patients.

Second, training programs might incorporate explicit teaching on presence, not-knowing, and what we have termed therapeutic *tzimtzum* [92]. Current training emphasizes techniques, theories, and diagnostic categories—all important, but potentially creating clinicians who fill therapeutic space rather than creating it. Training in contemplative practice, somatic awareness, and relational presence can develop the capacity for the sacred withdrawal that enables transformation.

Third, supervision and consultation should attend not only to clinical conceptualization but to the clinician's own self-state during sessions [93]. When clinicians operate from excessive interpretive certainty, supervision might explore what professional or personal needs drive the certainty. When clinicians feel overwhelmed or dissolved by their patients, supervision can help restore appropriate boundaries. The dialectic of *chesed* and

gevurah applies to the supervisory relationship as well as the clinical one. Fourth, ongoing practice should include attention to the clinician's own spiritual development, broadly understood [94]. The framework developed here is not religiously specific—clinicians need not adopt Jewish mystical theology to work from these principles. But all clinicians can benefit from practices that develop presence, surrender interpretive control, and cultivate comfort with mystery. Such practices might include meditation, contemplative prayer, time in nature, artistic expression, or other disciplines that soften egoic rigidity.

Finally, clinicians working with populations likely to present dissolution experiences—trauma survivors, those facing terminal illness, contemplative practitioners, individuals in existential crisis—should receive specific training on these phenomena [95]. Such training would include both theoretical understanding and practical skills: recognizing the difference between therapeutic and pathological dissolution, grounding techniques for when dissolution becomes overwhelming, and the relational stance that enables safe exploration of these states.

Conclusion: The Dissolving Self as Gateway

This paper has argued that the dissolving self represents not merely a clinical challenge but a therapeutic opportunity. Drawing on Jewish mystical theology, psychodynamic theory, and contemporary neuroscience, it has developed an integrated framework for understanding how ego dissolution functions within the therapeutic encounter and how clinicians might facilitate its healing potential while guarding against its dangers.

The dissolving self, we have seen, is not a collapse but a transition—a crossing from fear into meaning, from rigidity into relational openness, from isolation into sacred encounter [96]. Through therapeutic tzimtzum, sacred listening, and disciplined presence, clinician and patient co-create the possibility of transformation. In this liminal space, the boundaries of selfhood soften, revealing the hidden light that dwells within every human soul.

The therapeutic implications are significant. Rather than approaching dissolution primarily through the lens of pathology and symptom management, clinicians can recognize it as a fundamental human capacity that, under appropriate conditions, enables profound healing [97]. The REBUS model from neuroscience, the relational turn in psychoanalysis, and the tzimtzum framework from Jewish mysticism converge on a common insight: transformation requires the loosening of rigid self-structures so that something new can emerge.

Yet the paper has also emphasized that dissolution is not uniformly beneficial. The dialectic of chesed and gevurah—expansion and containment—must be honored. Dissolution without adequate container produces fragmentation; containment without openness produces stagnation [98]. The clinical art lies in holding both: creating space wide enough for transformation while maintaining structure sufficient for safety. This is the essence of therapeutic tzimtzum: the disciplined withdrawal that makes room for emergence while never abandoning the patient to overwhelming experience.

The framework developed here invites clinicians to reconsider fundamental assumptions about the self they aim to serve. If the bounded ego is not the apex of human development but rather a necessary but limiting construction, then strengthening ego functions may not always be the goal [99]. Sometimes the therapeutic task is helping patients loosen their grip on identities that have become prisons. Sometimes healing requires the courage to dissolve.

From the mystical perspective that has informed this analysis, such dissolution opens toward what we have termed the Or HaGanuz—the hidden light that was present all along but obscured by egoic constructions. The

patient who undergoes healing dissolution does not find something new but discovers something always already there: their essential nature, their tzelem Elohim, their participation in the infinite [100]. The dissolving self becomes a gateway rather than an endpoint—not dissolution into nothingness but dissolution into fullness, not loss of self but discovery of Self.

This vision of therapy as sacred encounter does not replace but rather includes and transcends technical competence. Clinicians still need diagnostic skill, theoretical knowledge, and evidence-based techniques. But these tools serve a larger purpose: creating conditions within which the mystery of human transformation can unfold. In the words attributed to Rabbi Nachman, 'The whole world is a very narrow bridge; the essential thing is not to be afraid' [101]. The dissolving self walks that narrow bridge, and the clinician who practices therapeutic tzimtzum walks alongside, neither rescuing nor abandoning, but witnessing and supporting the crossing.

References

1. Taylor C. (1989) Sources of the Self: The Making of Modern Identity. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
2. Cushman P. (1995) Constructing the Self, Constructing America: A Cultural History of Psychotherapy. Boston: Da Capo Press.
3. Saks ER. (2007) The Center Cannot Hold: My Journey Through Madness. New York: Hyperion.
4. Grof S, Grof C. (1989) Spiritual Emergency: When Personal Transformation Becomes a Crisis. Los Angeles: Tarcher.
5. Katzman P, Bernstein R, Ponak M. (2019) The authentic mystical self: Toward an integration of Jewish mysticism and relational psychoanalysis. *Psychoanal Psychol.* 36: 124-134.
6. Ungar-Sargon J. (2023) Tzimtzum and the clinical encounter: Kabbalistic theology in therapeutic practice. *J Relig Health.* 62: 2456-2472.
7. Hayes SC, Strosahl KD, Wilson KG. (2012) Acceptance and Commitment Therapy: The Process and Practice of Mindful Change. 2nd ed. New York: Guilford Press.
8. Northoff G, Heinzel A, de Greck M, Bermpohl F, Dobrowolny H, Panksepp J. (2006) Self-referential processing in our brain—a meta-analysis of imaging studies on the self. *NeuroImage.* 31: 440-457.
9. Freud S. (1923) The Ego and the Id. Standard Edition, Vol. 19. London: Hogarth Press.
10. Hartmann H. (1958) Ego Psychology and the Problem of Adaptation. New York: International Universities Press.
11. Fairbairn WRD. (1952) Psychoanalytic Studies of the Personality. London: Routledge.
12. Winnicott DW. (1960) Ego distortion in terms of true and false self. In: *The Maturation Processes and the Facilitating Environment.* London: Hogarth Press. 140-152.
13. Kohut H. (1971) The Analysis of the Self. New York: International Universities Press.
14. Stolorow RD, Atwood GE. (1992) Contexts of Being: The Intersubjective Foundations of Psychological Life. Hillsdale: Analytic Press.
15. Mitchell SA. (2000) Relationality: From Attachment to Intersubjectivity. Hillsdale: Analytic Press.
16. Benjamin J. (1988) The Bonds of Love: Psychoanalysis, Feminism, and the Problem of Domination. New York: Pantheon.
17. Katzman P, Bernstein R, Ponak M. (2020) Beyond the relational: Jewish mysticism and the self in contemporary psychoanalysis. *Contemp Psychoanal.* 56: 78-102.
18. Schatz-Uffenheimer R. (1993) Hasidism as Mysticism: Quietistic Elements in Eighteenth Century Hasidic Thought. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
19. Idel M. (1995) Hasidism: Between Ecstasy and Magic. Albany: SUNY Press.
20. Green A. (1992) Tormented Master: The Life and Spiritual Quest of Rabbi Nahman of Bratslav. Woodstock: Jewish Lights.
21. Nachman of Breslov. (1984) Likutey Moharan. Jerusalem: Breslov Research Institute.

22. Friston K. (2010) The free-energy principle: A unified brain theory? *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 11: 127-138.
23. Hohwy J. (2013) *The Predictive Mind.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
24. Carhart-Harris RL, Erritzoe D, Williams T, et al. (2012) Neural correlates of the psychedelic state as determined by fMRI studies with psilocybin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 109: 2138-2143.
25. Palhano-Fontes F, Andrade KC, Tofoli LF, et al. (2015) The psychedelic state induced by ayahuasca modulates the activity and connectivity of the default mode network. *PLoS One.* 10: e0118143.
26. Letheby C, Gerrans P. (2017) Self unbound: Ego dissolution in psychedelic experience. *Neurosci Conscious.* 3: nix016.
27. Stoliker D, Novelli L, Vollenweider FX, Kometer M, Bhattacharya J. (2022) Neural correlates of ego dissolution in the psychedelic state. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 16: 863818.
28. Griffiths RR, Johnson MW, Carducci MA, et al. (2016) Psilocybin produces substantial and sustained decreases in depression and anxiety in patients with life-threatening cancer. *J Psychopharmacol.* 30: 1181-1197.
29. Carhart-Harris RL, Friston KJ. (2019) REBUS and the anarchic brain: Toward a unified model of the brain action of psychedelics. *Pharmacol Rev.* 71: 316-344.
30. Johnson MW, Garcia-Romeu A, Griffiths RR. (2017) Long-term follow-up of psilocybin-facilitated smoking cessation. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse.* 43: 55-60.
31. Josipovic Z. (2010) Duality and nonduality in meditation research. *Conscious Cogn.* 19: 1119-1121.
32. Brewer JA, Worhunsky PD, Gray JR, Tang YY, Weber J, Kober H. (2011) Meditation experience is associated with differences in default mode network activity and connectivity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 108: 20254-20259.
33. Van der Kolk BA. (2014) *The Body Keeps the Score: Brain, Mind, and Body in the Healing of Trauma.* New York: Viking.
34. Nour MM, Evans L, Nutt D, Carhart-Harris RL. (2016) Ego-dissolution and psychedelics: Validation of the Ego-Dissolution Inventory (EDI). *Front Hum Neurosci.* 10:269.
35. Stace WT. (1960) *Mysticism and Philosophy.* Philadelphia: Lippincott.
36. Stern DN. (2004) *The present moment in psychotherapy and everyday life.* New York: Norton.
37. Boston Change Process Study Group. (2010) *Change in Psychotherapy: A Unifying Paradigm.* New York: Norton.
38. Fine L. (2003) *Physician of the Soul, Healer of the Cosmos: Isaac Luria and His Kabbalistic Fellowship.* Stanford: Stanford University Press.
39. Scholem G. (1941) *Major Trends in Jewish Mysticism.* New York: Schocken.
40. Ungar-Sargon J. (2024) The clinical tzimtzum: Creating sacred space for therapeutic transformation. *Pastoral Psychol.* 73: 45-62.
41. Strachey J. (1934) The nature of the therapeutic action of psychoanalysis. *Int J Psychoanal.* 15: 127-159.
42. Bion WR. (1967) Notes on memory and desire. *Psychoanal Forum.* 2: 271-280.
43. Orange DM. (2011) *The Suffering Stranger: Hermeneutics for Everyday Clinical Practice.* New York: Routledge.
44. Kauffman S. (1995) *At Home in the Universe: The Search for the Laws of Self-Organization and Complexity.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
45. Hoffman IZ. (1998) *Ritual and Spontaneity in the Psychoanalytic Process: A Dialectical-Constructivist View.* Hillsdale: Analytic Press.
46. Winnicott DW. (1971) *Playing and Reality.* London: Tavistock.
47. Ringstrom PA. (2014) *A Relational Psychoanalytic Approach to Couples Psychotherapy.* New York: Routledge.
48. Buber M. I and Thou. Kaufmann W, trans. (1970) New York: Scribner.
49. Tishby I. (1989) *The Wisdom of the Zohar. Vol 2.* Oxford: Littman Library.
50. Bereshit Rabbah 3:6. In: *Midrash Rabbah.* (1955) Vilna Edition. Jerusalem: Lewin-Epstein.
51. Matt DC. (1995) *The Essential Kabbalah: The Heart of Jewish Mysticism.* San Francisco: HarperSanFrancisco.
52. Beck AT. (1976) *Cognitive Therapy and the Emotional Disorders.* New York: International Universities Press.
53. Wolfson ER. (1994) *Through a Speculum That Shines: Vision and Imagination in Medieval Jewish Mysticism.* Princeton: Princeton University Press.
54. Herman JL. (1980) *Trauma and Recovery: The Aftermath of Violence.* New York: Basic Books; 1992.
55. Rogers CR. *A Way of Being.* Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
56. Kugel JL. (2007) *How to Read the Bible: A Guide to Scripture Then and Now.* New York: Free Press.
57. White M, Epston D. (1990) *Narrative Means to Therapeutic Ends.* New York: Norton.
58. Yalom ID. (2002) *The Gift of Therapy: An Open Letter to a New Generation of Therapists and Their Patients.* New York: HarperCollins.
59. Charmaz K. (1983) Loss of self: A fundamental form of suffering in the chronically ill. *Sociol Health Illn.* 5: 168-195.
60. Frank AW. (1995) *The Wounded Storyteller: Body, Illness, and Ethics.* Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
61. Shay J. (1994) *Achilles in Vietnam: Combat Trauma and the Undoing of Character.* New York: Atheneum.
62. Tick E. (2005) *War and the Soul: Healing Our Nation's Veterans from Post-traumatic Stress Disorder.* Wheaton: Quest Books.
63. Drescher KD, Foy DW. (2007) Spirituality and trauma: Psychospiritual and religiocultural applications. In: Wilson JP, Tang CS, editors. *Cross-Cultural Assessment of Psychological Trauma and PTSD.* New York: Springer. 317-336.
64. Kearney M. (2000) *Mortally Wounded: Stories of Soul Pain, Death and Healing.* New Orleans: Spring Journal Books.
65. Byock I. (1997) *Dying Well: Peace and Possibilities at the End of Life.* New York: Riverhead.
66. Lindahl JR, Fisher NE, Cooper DJ, Rosen RK, Britton WB. (2017) The varieties of contemplative experience: A mixed-methods study of meditation-related challenges in Western Buddhists. *PLoS One.* 12: e0176239.
67. Kornfield J. (1993) *A Path with Heart: A Guide Through the Perils and Promises of Spiritual Life.* New York: Bantam.
68. Strassman RJ. (1984) Adverse reactions to psychedelic drugs: A review of the literature. *J Nerv Ment Dis.* 172: 577-595.
69. Matt DC. (1996) *God and the Big Bang: Discovering Harmony Between Science and Spirituality.* Woodstock: Jewish Lights.
70. Barrett FS, Johnson MW, Griffiths RR. (2015) Validation of the revised Mystical Experience Questionnaire in experimental sessions with psilocybin. *J Psychopharmacol.* 29: 1182-1190.
71. Phelps J. (2017) Developing guidelines and competencies for the training of psychedelic therapists. *J Humanist Psychol.* 57: 450-487.
72. Mithoefer MC, Wagner MT, Mithoefer AT, Jerome L, Doblin R. (2011) The safety and efficacy of $\pm 3,4$ -methylenedioxymethamphetamine-assisted psychotherapy in subjects with chronic, treatment-resistant posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Psychopharmacol.* 25: 439-452.
73. Gabbard GO, Lester EP. (2003) *Boundaries and Boundary Violations in Psychoanalysis.* 2nd ed. Washington: American Psychiatric Publishing.
74. Ogden P, Minton K, Pain C. (2006) *Trauma and the Body: A Sensorimotor Approach to Psychotherapy.* New York: Norton.
75. Watts R, Day C, Krzanowski J, Nutt D, Carhart-Harris R. (2017) Patients' accounts of increased 'connectedness' and 'acceptance' after psilocybin for treatment-resistant depression. *J Humanist Psychol.* 57: 520-564.
76. Vollenweider FX, Kometer M. (2010) The neurobiology of psychedelic drugs: Implications for the treatment of mood disorders. *Nat Rev*

- Neurosci. 11: 642-651.
77. Kernberg OF. (1975) Borderline Conditions and Pathological Narcissism. New York: Jason Aronson.
 78. Richards WA. (2016) Sacred Knowledge: Psychedelics and Religious Experiences. New York: Columbia University Press.
 79. Grof S. (1988) The Adventure of Self-Discovery. Albany: SUNY Press.
 80. Grotstein JS. (2000) Who Is the Dreamer Who Dreams the Dream? A Study of Psychic Presences. Hillsdale: Analytic Press.
 81. Bromberg PM. (1998) Standing in the Spaces: Essays on Clinical Process, Trauma, and Dissociation. Hillsdale: Analytic Press.
 82. Carhart-Harris RL, Leech R, Hellyer PJ, et al. (2014) The entropic brain: A theory of conscious states informed by neuroimaging research with psychedelic drugs. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 8: 20.
 83. Turner V. (1969) The Ritual Process: Structure and Anti-Structure. Chicago: Aldine.
 84. Stern DN, Sander LW, Nahum JP, et al. (1998) Non-interpretive mechanisms in psychoanalytic therapy: The 'something more' than interpretation. *Int J Psychoanal.* 79: 903-921.
 85. Wolfson ER. Alef, Mem, Tau. (2006) Kabbalistic Musings on Time, Truth, and Death. Berkeley: University of California Press.
 86. Ghent E. (1990) Masochism, submission, surrender: Masochism as a perversion of surrender. *Contemp Psychoanal.* 26: 108-136.
 87. Kegan R. (1982) The Evolving Self: Problem and Process in Human Development. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
 88. Magid S. (2008) From Metaphysics to Midrash: Myth, History, and the Interpretation of Scripture in Lurianic Kabbala. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
 89. Ungar-Sargon J. (2024) Shekhinah consciousness and the clinical encounter: Divine presence in therapeutic transformation. *J Pastoral Care Counsel.* 78: 112-128.
 90. Schneerson MM. (1986) Torah Studies. London: Kehot.
 91. Jung CG. (1954) The Practice of Psychotherapy: Essays on the Psychology of the Transference and Other Subjects. *Collected Works*, Vol. 16. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
 92. Safran JD, Muran JC. (2000) Negotiating the Therapeutic Alliance: A Relational Treatment Guide. New York: Guilford Press.
 93. Casement PJ. (1991) Learning from the Patient. New York: Guilford Press.
 94. Sperry L. (2012) Spirituality in Clinical Practice: Theory and Practice of Spiritually Oriented Psychotherapy. 2nd ed. New York: Routledge.
 95. Bragdon E. (1990) The Call of Spiritual Emergency: From Personal Crisis to Personal Transformation. San Francisco: Harper & Row.
 96. Wilber K. (2000) Integral Psychology: Consciousness, Spirit, Psychology, Therapy. Boston: Shambhala.
 97. Loizzo J. (2012) Sustainable Happiness: The Mind Science of Well-Being, Altruism, and Inspiration. New York: Routledge.
 98. Heschel AJ. (1955) God in Search of Man: A Philosophy of Judaism. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
 99. Epstein M. (1995) Thoughts Without a Thinker: Psychotherapy from a Buddhist Perspective. New York: Basic Books.
 100. Tishby I. (1942) The Doctrine of Evil and the 'Kelippah' in Lurianic Kabbalism. Jerusalem: Magnes Press.
 101. Likutey Moharan II. (1984) 48. In: Rabbi Nachman of Breslov. Jerusalem: Breslov Research Institute.

Cite this article: Julian Y. Ungar-Sargon. (2026) The Dissolving Self in the Therapeutic Encounter: Mysticism, Ego Dissolution, and Clinical Transformation. *Advance Medical & Clinical Research.* 7 (1): 229-237.

Copyright: ©2026 Julian Y. Ungar-Sargon. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.